UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

Vs.

Vs.

NIRAV B. PATEL,

Defendant.

)

Cause No.

3:23-cr-30076-SPM-1

East St. Louis, IL

February 6, 2025

9:08 a.m.

Before the HONORABLE JUDGE STEPHEN P. MCGYLNN

TRANSCRIPT OF JURY TRIAL VOLUME 4

FOR PLAINTIFF: Mr. Peter T. Reed

Mr. Steven D. Weinhoeft

United States Attorney's Office

9 Executive Drive

Fairview Heights, Illinois 62208

peter.reed@usdoj.gov

steven.weinhoeft@usdoj.gov

FOR DEFENDANT: Ms. Kim C. Freter

Federal Public Defender's Office 650 Missouri Avenue, Suite G10A East St. Louis, Illinois 62201

kim freter@fd.org

INTERPRETERS: Nita Shah and Chetan Vyas

COURT REPORTER: Erin M. Materkowski, RPR, CRR

erin materkowski@ilsd.uscourts.gov

750 Missouri Avenue

East St. Louis, IL 62201

(Proceedings taken by machine shorthand; transcript produced by computer-aided transcription)

Vol. 4 - 674

I		0 / 1
1	INDEX	
2	WITNESSES INDEX	
3	PLAINTIFF'S WITNESSES	PAGE
4	CONOR HOYLAND	
5	Continued Direct By Mr. Reed	676
6	Cross By Ms. Freter	703
7	Redirect By Mr. Reed	718
8	Recross By Ms. Freter	722
9	GURDEEP KESAR	
10	Direct By Mr. Reed	724
11	Cross By Ms. Freter	731
12	Redirect By Mr. Reed	736
13	HARLEEN KAUR	
14	Direct By Mr. Reed	737
15	Cross By Ms. Freter	761
16	Redirect By Mr. Reed	800
17	Recross By Ms. Freter	804
18		
19	DEFENDANT'S WITNESSES	PAGE
20	NIRAV PATEL	
21	Direct By Ms. Freter	819
22		
23		
24		
25		

Vol. 4 - 675

		Vol.	4 - 675
1		INDEX	
2		EXHIBITS INDEX	
3	EXHIBITS	E	VIDENCE
4	Government's No.	4	745
5	Government's No.	5	757
6	Government's No.	6	731
7	Government's No.	70	695
8	Government's No.	71	695
9	Government's No.	72	695
10	Government's No.	151	685
11	Government's No.	152	685
12	Government's No.	153	685
13	Government's No.	154	685
14	Government's No.	155	685
15	Government's No.	156	686
16	Government's No.	157	686
17	Government's No.	158	686
18	Government's No.	159	701
19	Government's No.	160	701
20	Government's No.	161	678
21	Government's No.	162	678
22	Government's No.	164	678
23	Government's No.	165	678
24	Government's No.	166	681
25			

HOYLAND - CONTINUED DIRECT/REED Vol. 4 - 676 (In open court.) 1 (Jury present at 9:08 a.m.) 2 THE COURT: All right. So we are still in 3 the direct examination of Mr. Hoyland. 4 5 Sir, you are still under oath. CONOR HOYLAND, GOVERNMENT'S WITNESS, 6 PREVIOUSLY SWORN 7 CONTINUED DIRECT EXAMINATION 8 BY MR. REED: 9 Q. Good morning, sir. 10 11 A. Good morning. Q. Yesterday, I think, we left off with the 12 attempted interview of Mr. Patel? 13 A. Yes. 14 15 Q. And at some point that stopped before you asked 16 any substantive questions? A. That's correct. 17 18 Q. But Mr. Patel made some voluntary statements? A. He did. 19 O. What were those? 20 A. He spoke about 5 to \$10,000, he also mentioned 2.1 22 it was his first time, and he said he was not involved in this. 23 Q. Okay. After you left the interview room, later 24 25 that week, what did you do about -- excuse me.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

```
HOYLAND - CONTINUED DIRECT/REED Vol. 4 - 677
me start -- what did you do after seizing
Mr. Patel's phones?
A. When they were initially taken from the car,
they were placed in what's called airplane mode.
That's to prevent anybody from remotely wiping them
to preserve the evidence. I then obtained search
warrants for the devices.
Q. Did you eventually make an extraction copy of
those two phones?
A. Yes, I did.
        MR. REED: Can we put up Government's
Exhibit 161 for the witness.
BY MR. REED:
Q. Is this call data you extracted from
Mr. Patel's iPhone?
A. Yes, it is.
Q. Does it include calls made through the WhatsApp
application?
A. Yes, it does.
        MR. REED: Put up 162, please.
BY MR. REED:
Q. Is this the Apple Map's data you extracted from
Mr. Patel's phone?
A. Yes, it is.
        MR. REED: Put up 164, please.
```

HOYLAND - CONTINUED DIRECT/REED Vol. 4 - 678 BY MR. REED: 1 Q. Is this the call data you extracted from the 2 other phone, the Android? 3 A. Yes, it is. 4 5 MR. REED: And 165, please. BY MR. REED: 6 7 Q. Is this the contacts data you extracted from the Android phone? 8 A. Yes, it is. 9 Q. All of these documents, to the best of your 10 11 knowledge, do they truly and accurately report the data from the Android and iPhone? 12 13 A. Yes, they do. MR. REED: I move to admit 161, 162, 164, 14 15 165. 16 MS. FRETER: No objection. THE COURT: Admitted without objection. 17 18 (Government's Exhibit Nos. 161, 162, 164 and 165 received in evidence.) 19 MR. REED: Okay. If we could publish 165 20 for the jury, page 47. 2.1 22 BY MR. REED: Q. Now, this is one of the phones seized in 23 Edwardsville, not Wisconsin, right? 24 25 A. That is correct.

HOYLAND - CONTINUED DIRECT/REED Vol. 4 - 679

- Q. But if I direct your attention to Entry 234, who is the contact in 234?
- A. Contact name is KTK with a mobile phone number of (905) 437-10 -- or 0138.
- Q. During the course of your investigations, did you contact law enforcement in Wisconsin?
- 7 | A. Yes, I did.
- 8 Q. Did you read the Wisconsin police report?
- 9 A. Yes, I did.
- Q. Did a KKT communicate with Patel about the pickups up there?
- 12 A. Yes, he did.
- Q. Does the phone number here on the other phone for KTK match the phone number for KKT in the Wisconsin phone?
- 16 A. Yes, it does.
- MR. REED: Go to page 30 of this same document.
- 19 BY MR. REED:

- Q. And just to -- KTK and KKT, same number?
- 21 A. That's correct.
- Q. So the same number is in two different phones under slightly different names?
- 24 A. That is correct.
- 25 | Q. So on this page, is there an entry for a

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

HOYLAND - CONTINUED DIRECT/REED Vol. 4 - 680 Danny? A. Yes, there is. Q. And what's the phone number there? A. 302 -- (302) 407-2090. Q. Same Danny that he was communicating with in Wisconsin? A. Yes. MR. REED: If we could show Government's Exhibit 166 for the witness. BY MR. REED: Q. All those extraction reports we just moved into evidence just now, fair to say they are many, many pages long because of the amount of information in Patel's phone? A. Yes. Q. Have you seen the chart that's on the screen before? A. Yes, I have. Q. Does it accurately summarize call and map information from those large PDFs we just looked at? A. Yes, it does. MR. REED: Move to admit Exhibit 166 under Rule 1006 as a summary to prove content.

MS. FRETER: No objection.

HOYLAND - CONTINUED DIRECT/REED Vol. 4 - 681 THE COURT: 166 is admitted. 1 MR. REED: If we could publish that for 2 3 the jury. (Government's Exhibit No. 166 was received 4 5 in evidence.) BY MR. REED: 6 7 Q. All right. What information is in the far left column here on this sheet? 8 A. That is going to be the call number which 9 corresponds to the previous summaries that were 10 11 shown. Q. And Android and iPhone, those are the two 12 phones recovered from Mr. Patel? 13 A. That is correct. 14 15 Q. And the next two columns, what are they going 16 to contain? A. That is going to be the date and time of the 17 call. 18 O. And also the duration? 19 A. And the duration. 20 Q. And the "from" and "to," is self-explanatory; 2.1 it is who made the call and who received the 22 23 call? A. That is correct. 24 25 Q. Were some of these calls made through

HOYLAND - CONTINUED DIRECT/REED Vol. 4 - 682 WhatsApp? 1 A. Yes, they were. 2 Q. So let's start with the very first call here. 3 What happened? 4 5 A. That is a call from Nirav Patel to the contact Danny with a duration of 0 seconds, which would 6 7 mean that it did not connect. Q. Okay. This was the day Nirav Patel was 8 arrested -- or was stopped in Wisconsin; is that 9 right? 10 11 A. That is correct. Q. When does Danny reach back out again to Patel 12 after the stop in Wisconsin? 13 A. March 2, 2023, at 4:53 p.m. 14 15 So the next several calls are in March? 16 That is correct. Α. Who's on those calls in March of 2023? 17 0. That will be Nirav and the contact Danny. 18 19 MR. REED: If you can zoom back out. BY MR. REED: 20 Q. Okay. Call No. 7, what date does this call 2.1 22 occur? A. It occurred on April 10, 2023, at 7:46 a.m. 23 MR. REED: If we can zoom back up and pop 24 25 up all the 4-10 calls.

HOYLAND - CONTINUED DIRECT/REED Vol. 4 - 683

- 1 BY MR. REED:
- 2 \parallel Q. What happened on April 10th?
- 3 A. That would be the day of the first pickup from
- 4 | Virginia Bryan's residence on Hollyhock Lane by the
- 5 scammers.
- Q. So after Danny contacts Nirav, is there another
- 7 | call from another number?
- 8 A. Yes, there's another call from the contact
- 9 | "Pickup."
- 10 | Q. Is this how it was entered in Patel's phone?
- 11 A. Yes, it was.
- 12 | Q. There's no name attached? Just "Pickup"?
- 13 A. No. He created the contact with the name
- 14 Pickup."
- 15 | Q. And let's see. There are a number of contacts
- 16 | with "Pickup" throughout the course of the day on
- 17 | April 10th; is that fair?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 | Q. One, two, three, four, five, six, seven, eight,
- 20 | nine. They're going back and forth all day long?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 \parallel Q. And the first set of calls -- well, Call 8, 9
- and 10, about when do those happen?
- 24 \parallel A. They all occurred between 3 and 3:30 p.m.
- 25 \parallel Q. And then calls 11 through, say, 16, when are

HOYLAND - CONTINUED DIRECT/REED Vol. 4 - 684 those calls occurring? 1 A. They all occurred between approximately 7:30 2 and 9:00 p.m. 3 Q. When was -- approximately when did that pickup 4 5 occur on April 10th? Was it dark outside? A. It was. I don't recall the exact time. 6 7 Q. Okay. So a whole bunch of calls that evening? 8 A. Yes. 9 Q. All right. And then as we move down, Calls 17 10 11 and 18, he's still communicating with "Pickup"? A. Yes. 12 O. And now it's after ten? 13 A. Correct. 14 15 MR. REED: And then 19, 20 and 21, if we 16 can look at those as well. BY MR. REED: 17 18 Q. When are these calls occurring? A. Between 12:00 and 12:30 a.m. 19 Q. Same night --20 A. Yes. 2.1 22 Q. -- just after midnight? Did you find any photos on Patel's phones 23 that were taken this same date, April 10th? 24 25 A. Yes, I did.

```
HOYLAND - CONTINUED DIRECT/REED Vol. 4 - 685
               MR. REED: If we could show Government's
 1
      Exhibit 151 for the witness, please. 151, sorry.
 2
      BY MR. REED:
 3
      Q. Is this one of those photos?
 4
 5
      A. Yes, it is.
               MR. REED: And 152 for the witness.
 6
 7
      BY MR. REED:
      Q. Another April 10th photo?
 8
      A. Yes, it is.
 9
      Q. 153, 154 and 155, all those photos were taken
10
      on April 10th?
11
      A. Yes, they were.
12
               MR. REED: Move to admit 151 through
13
      155.
14
15
               MS. FRETER: No objection.
16
               THE COURT: Be admitted.
               (Government's Exhibit Nos. 151 through 155
17
      received in evidence.)
18
      BY MR. REED:
19
      Q. And then 156 is a video. Was there also a
20
      video taken on April 10th?
2.1
22
      A. There was.
               MR. REED: If we could show the witness
23
      157. We can stay in this, 157.
24
25
      BY MR. REED:
```

HOYLAND - CONTINUED DIRECT/REED Vol. 4 - 686 What is this? 1 That is the metadata associated with the video 2 3 in question. Q. And then 158, is this the next day, April 4 5 11th? A. Yes. 6 7 MR. REED: So move to admit 156, the video; 157, the metadata; and 158, the photo on the 8 screen. 9 MS. FRETER: No objection. 10 11 THE COURT: Admitted without objection. (Government's Exhibit Nos. 156 through 158 12 were received in evidence.) 13 MR. REED: If we could go back to 151 and 14 15 publish to the jury. 16 BY MR. REED: Q. What are we looking at here? 17 18 A. That is a photo of the app -- or a mapping application on a phone showing directions from 19 somewhere in northern Illinois, probably Chicago 20 area, down towards somewhere in the area of 2.1 22 Edwardsville, Illinois. MR. REED: Go to page 2 of this exhibit. 23 BY MR. REED: 24 25 Q. Is this the metadata for the photo?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

A. That is correct.

HOYLAND - CONTINUED DIRECT/REED Vol. 4 - 687 A. Yes, it is. Q. When was this screenshot for directions down to Edwardsville created? A. April 10, 2023, at 3:42 p.m. Q. And what was used to create this photo? What camera? A. So it's going to be the camera on an Apple iPhone XR. Q. Is that the kind of iPhone you seized from Mr. Patel? A. Yes, it is. Q. So in context of those calls earlier, 3:42 p.m., is that soon after Patel starts receiving calls from "Pickup"? A. Yes, it is. MR. REED: 152 for the jury. BY MR. REED: Q. What are we looking at here? This is another photo of a mapping application showing I-55 southbound, about 1 hour and 23 minutes away from a destination, and showing to get off in 26 miles at an exit for St. Louis. O. And 7:36 arrival time?

Q. Look at the metadata for this one. When was

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

A. Yes, it does.

A. Yes, it is.

Q. And this is from Mr. Patel's phone?

HOYLAND - CONTINUED DIRECT/REED Vol. 4 - 688 this photo taken? A. This photo was taken on April 10, 2023, at 6:14 p.m. Q. Do you see underneath an entry for "move to trash"? A. Yes. Q. What does that mean? A. The user deleted that photo on April 12, 2023, at 11:39 a.m. MR. REED: Exhibit 153 for the jury. BY MR. REED: Q. What does this photo show? A. This photo shows a shoebox that has been taped shut. It is the same shoebox located -- that was used by Virginia Bryan in the first scam on April 10th. Q. So when we looked yesterday at Virginia Bryan's phone, there was a picture taken on April 10th of a shoebox in her house? A. That is correct. Q. And it appears to match the description of the box that is pictured here?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

13

14

16

18

20

22

23

24

```
HOYLAND - CONTINUED DIRECT/REED Vol. 4 - 689
      Q. Does it appear to be taken in a vehicle?
      A. Yes.
      Q. Page 2, when is this photo taken?
      A. This photo was taken April 10, 2023, at
      7:53 p.m.
      Q. Was this moved to trash as well?
      A. Yes, it was, on April 12th.
               MR. REED: 154 for the jury.
      BY MR. REED:
      Q. Another picture of the box?
11
      A. Yes.
      Q. Was this photo deleted too?
12
               MR. REED: We'll go down to page 2.
               THE WITNESS: Yes, it was.
15
      BY MR. REED:
      Q. 155, what's this?
      A. Another photo of the box.
17
      Q. Page 2, was this photo deleted?
      A. Yes, it was.
19
               MR. REED: Now 156, which is the video,
      Sandra.
2.1
               (The video was played at this time.)
      BY MR. REED:
      Q. Just briefly, yesterday we looked at some
      photos of the inside of that vehicle --
```

HOYLAND - CONTINUED DIRECT/REED Vol. 4 - 690 A. Yes. 1 2 Q. -- do you recall that? 3 The inside of the vehicle stopped on April 20th. 4 5 A. That is correct. Q. When you look at this video, does the interior 6 7 of the vehicle from the April 10th video appear to match the vehicle you took pictures of on April 8 20th? 9 A. Yes, it does. 10 Q. Okay. 157, what is this? 11 A. That is the metadata associated with that 12 video. 13 O. When was this video taken? 14 A. April 10, 2023, at 7:53 p.m. 15 16 Q. And was this video also deleted at a later time? 17 18 A. Yes, it was, on April 12th. MR. REED: Zoom out to the full page here. 19 BY MR. REED: 20 Q. Why would Patel be taking these pictures and 2.1 22 this video? MS. FRETER: Objection. Calls for 23 24 speculation. 25 BY MR. REED:

HOYLAND - CONTINUED DIRECT/REED Vol. 4 - 691 Q. Why were these -- why would these photos and 1 videos be taken? 2 MS. FRETER: I'm sorry. I didn't hear 3 that. 4 5 THE COURT: She's saying you're -- how do you respond, that the question is asking him to 6 7 speculate? MR. REED: Well, are you asking whether it 8 was Patel? Are you picking at that part of it? 9 MS. FRETER: Your question was: Why did 10 11 Mr. Patel take these pictures? My objection is you're asking this witness to speculate. 12 MR. REED: Oh, about the "why" part. 13 MS. FRETER: Yeah. 14 15 BY MR. REED: 16 Q. I'll ask it this way: Looking at this sheet, can you tell me what app was used to take this? 17 18 A. Yes, the bundle ID associated with it and the bundle name show WhatsApp. 19 Q. And is this an album listed at the top as well, 20 second line? 2.1 22 A. Yes, it's the album "WhatsApp." Q. What does that indicate to you? 23 A. That would indicate that these photos were 24 25 taken using the camera through the application

HOYLAND - CONTINUED DIRECT/REED Vol. 4 - 692 WhatsApp. Q. And what is WhatsApp? A. WhatsApp is a messaging and call service that's available for download on both Android and iPhone devices in their stores, and it allows you to communicate with people around the world via text or call or even video chat. Q. So why would you be taking photos and video in the WhatsApp app? A. It would be to send them to other people. MR. REED: Exhibit 158 for the witness, please -- or for the jury. BY MR. REED: Q. What are we looking at here? A. The photo of a \$1 bill. Q. And page 2 of this exhibit, when was this photo taken? A. April 11, 2023, at 12:33 a.m. Q. About four-and-a-half hours after the photos of the box that we looked at earlier? A. Correct. MR. REED: If we could put this side by

24 BY MR. REED:

side with 166.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

25

Q. Okay. So this photo was taken early in the

HOYLAND - CONTINUED DIRECT/REED Vol. 4 - 693 morning on the 11th, 12:33 a.m. What was also 1 happening at about that same time? 2 A. At approximately that same time, he had 3 called -- Nirav Patel had called "Pickup." 4 5 Q. And that's Call No. 20 at 12:15 a.m., Call 21 at 12:25 a.m.? 6 7 A. Correct. MR. REED: If we can go to the second page 8 of 166, please. 9 BY MR. REED: 10 Q. And then is there a third call earlier in the 11 morning under entry 22? 12 A. Yes, there is. 13 O. When is that call? 14 15 A. It occurred at 12:33 a.m. 16 Q. How long was it? A. One minute and 3 seconds. 17 18 Q. So the photo of the bill, is it taken while he's on the phone with "Pickup"? 19 A. Yes, it is. 20 2.1 MR. REED: This photo of the bill number, 22 if we can go back to that. BY MR. REED: 23 Q. Based on your training and experience as a law 24 25 enforcement officer, did this photo of the bill

HOYLAND - CONTINUED DIRECT/REED Vol. 4 - 694

number mean anything to you?

A. Yes, it did. In these types of scams, in my training and experience, these bills, they use the serial numbers as basically tracking numbers for the money that they're moving through their network.

MR. REED: You can take both of those

BY MR. REED:

down.

- Q. During the course of your investigation, did you cover -- uncover additional evidence that
- 12 Mr. Patel's vehicle was in Edwardsville on April
- 13 10, 2023?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

- 14 | A. Yes, I did.
- 15 Q. And in fact -- well, what was that evidence?
- A. Based on data located within the phone, I found that he had stopped at a gas station just outside
- of the Edwardsville venue on State Route 143 next
- 19 to I-55 right outside Edwardsville.
- 20 Q. How far is that from Virginia Bryan's house?
- 21 A. One, maybe 2 miles approximately.
- 22 Q. Did you go to the Phillips 66?
- 23 A. Yes, I did.
- Q. Were you able to obtain security camera footage there?

```
HOYLAND - CONTINUED DIRECT/REED Vol. 4 - 695
      A. Yes, I was.
 1
      Q. And did that security camera footage have
 2
 3
      multiple cameras in the course of the gas
      station?
 4
 5
      A. Yes, it did.
               MR. REED: Okay. If we could show the
 6
      witness Government's Exhibit 70 and then 71 and 72.
 7
      BY MR. REED:
 8
      Q. Are these portions of the videos you obtained
 9
      from Phillips 66?
10
11
      A. Yes, they are.
               MR. REED: Move to admit 70, 71 and 72.
12
               MS. FRETER: No objection.
13
               THE COURT: Admitted without objection.
14
15
               (Government's Exhibit Nos. 70 through 72
16
      were received in evidence.)
               MR. REED: If we could go back to Exhibit
17
18
      70, please.
      BY MR. REED:
19
      Q. Did you see a red Nissan pull into the gas
20
      station in this video?
2.1
      A. Yes, I did.
22
          Tell me when you see it and where it is.
23
      A. It's at that last pump at the left.
24
25
      Q. Right there?
```

HOYLAND - CONTINUED DIRECT/REED Vol. 4 - 696

A. Yes. 1 MR. REED: We can go ahead and zoom back 2 3 out, Sandra. BY MR. REED: 4 5 Q. The time stamp up in the right, it says it's the year 2000; is that correct? 6 7 A. No, that time stamp is incorrect. Q. At the bottom in the middle is there also a 8 time stamp? 9 A. Yes. 10 11 That time stamp has the right date and time? A. Yes, it does. 12 Q. So it's about 7:53 p.m. on the night of April 13 10th? 14 15 A. That is correct. 16 Q. So we could watch this through, but is it fair 17 to say that he doesn't -- nobody gets out of that car for a while? 18 A. For several minutes. 19 Q. And you've seen this all the way through. How 20 2.1 many people get out of the car? 22 A. One. MR. REED: So let's go ahead and jump 23 24 forward to -- one moment. I lost my time stamp --25 7:56:20 according to the time stamp at the bottom.

HOYLAND - CONTINUED DIRECT/REED Vol. 4 - 697 That's pretty close. Yeah. That's good. 1 Okay. Can we go back to 7:56. I'm sorry, 2 Sandra. 7:56. You had it. It was about 4 minutes 3 back, and 7:56 by the clock at the bottom. There 4 5 we go. BY MR. REED: 6 7 Q. The car door is opening? A. Yes. 8 MR. REED: Can we go ahead and zoom back 9 out. 10 11 (The video was played at this time.) BY MR. REED: 12 Q. Okay. So he gets out of the car. He parked at 13 the pump at, we said, 7:52 and change at the very 14 beginning? 15 16 A. Yes. Q. And now it's 7:56 and change, 7:57? 17 18 A. Correct. Q. So it's about 4 minutes where he simply sits in 19 the car? 20 A. Correct. 2.1 MR. REED: And if we can pause this for a 22 moment, Sandra. Go back to 157. 23 BY MR. REED: 24 25 Q. Okay. This is the metadata for the video?

HOYLAND - CONTINUED DIRECT/REED Vol. 4 - 698 A. Correct. 1 Q. So we said 7:52 to 7:56 he's sitting in the 2 car. When was this video taken? 3 A. 7:53. 4 O. So the video of the shoebox would be taken 5 during that time between when the car parks and 6 7 when the driver gets out? A. That is correct. 8 MR. REED: If we can go back to 70. 9 BY MR. REED: 10 11 Q. Does -- he pumps gas? A. Yes. 12 Q. All right. At some point does he move the car 13 forward? 14 15 A. Yes, he does. 16 MR. REED: So Sandra, if you could move it forward to eight o'clock and 40 seconds, 8:00:40. 17 Perfect. 18 (The video was played at this time.) 19 MR. REED: Okay. Move up to 71. 20 2.1 (The video was played at this time.) 22 BY MR. REED: This is a different camera, same place? 23 24 A. Yes. 25 Q. You can see the car pulling in?

HOYLAND - CONTINUED DIRECT/REED Vol. 4 - 699 A. Correct. 1 MR. REED: Let's go ahead and move down to 2 72. 3 (The video was played at this time.) 4 5 BY MR. REED: Q. Same place, different camera? 6 7 A. Correct. Q. Pays for his drink and chips and heads back 8 out? 9 A. Correct. 10 11 MR. REED: Go ahead and pull that down. If we could go back to 166. 12 Okay. So that was all April 10, and then 13 we looked at that part after midnight. Can we go 14 15 to page 2 here of 166. 16 BY MR. REED: Q. Call No. 24, when is the next contact? 17 A. April 13 at 5:32 p.m. 18 Q. Now, when we looked at those pictures and that 19 20 video earlier, they were all deleted, right? A. Correct. 2.1 22 What day were they deleted; do you recall? The 12th. 23 Α. Q. Was there any communication from Danny or 24 25 "Pickup" on April 12th that you could locate in the

HOYLAND - CONTINUED DIRECT/REED Vol. 4 - 700 phone? 1 A. No. 2 The next communication is April 13th? 3 A. Correct. 4 5 Q. And who is that with? That is "Pickup" contacting Nirav Patel. 6 7 And then Line 25, what day is it in Line 25? Q. A. April 20th. 8 What happened on April 20th? 9 Q. That was the day of the second pickup when we 10 11 took Mr. Patel into custody. Q. Who called Patel that day? 12 The contact "Pickup." 13 O. And when was this first contact? 14 15 A. 2:16 p.m. 16 MR. REED: Go ahead and zoom back out to 17 the full page. BY MR. REED: 18 Q. There's a series of calls at about that time, 19 right, 25, 26, 27, 28? 20 A. Correct. 2.1 22 Q. And then we admitted earlier some Apple Maps data. Was there a search in Apple Maps? 23 A. There was. At 2:34 p.m. on the 20th, Nirav 24 25 Patel searched for the add -- directions from his

HOYLAND - CONTINUED DIRECT/REED Vol. 4 - 701 location to 118 Hollyhock Lane in Edwardsville, 1 Illinois. 2 Q. Who lives at 118 Hollyhock? 3 A. Virginia Bryan. 4 5 MR. REED: If we could put -- we'll move all these in at the same time. 6 If we could have 159 for the witness, 7 please. 8 BY MR. REED: 9 Q. Is this a photo recovered from Mr. Patel's 10 11 phone? A. Yes, it is. 12 Q. And then 160, another photo from Mr. Patel's 13 phone? 14 15 A. Yes, it is. MR. REED: Move to admit 159 and 160. 16 MS. FRETER: No objection. 17 THE COURT: Be admitted. 18 (Government's Exhibit Nos. 159 and 160 19 20 were received in evidence.) 2.1 MR. REED: Go back to 159. 22 BY MR. REED: Q. What does this photo show? 23 This photo shows the Apple Maps application 24 25 open to directions from the user's current location

HOYLAND - CONTINUED DIRECT/REED Vol. 4 - 702 to 118 Hollyhock Lane. 1 Is the current location up by Chicago? 2 A. Yes, it is. 3 Page 2 here, when is this screenshot taken? 4 A. It's taken at about 2:34 p.m. on April 20th. 5 MR. REED: Can we go back to the call 6 7 summary, which is 166, page 2. BY MR. REED: 8 Q. So, you know, within 60 seconds of when he 9 makes the search? 10 A. Correct. 11 MR. REED: If we can go to the -- that 12 next photo, 160. 13 BY MR. REED: 14 15 O. What's shown here? 16 A. That is a photo of a phone with directions open to the Google Maps application showing an exit in 17 57 miles to St. Louis with an arrival of 18 approximately 7:40 p.m. 19 O. When did Mr. Patel arrive in Edwardsville that 20 2.1 night? 22 A. Approximately 7:45. MR. REED: If we could go to page 2 of 23 this. 24 25 BY MR. REED:

HOYLAND - CROSS/FRETER Vol. 4 - 703This photo is also taken on April 20th? 1 A. Correct. 2 Q. And that's when he was arrested, that night? 3 A. Correct. 4 5 MR. REED: No further questions. Thank you, sir. 6 7 THE WITNESS: Thank you. CROSS-EXAMINATION 8 BY MS. FRETER: 9 O. Detective? 10 11 A. Yes. Q. Okay, good. So you were here yesterday, so I'm 12 going to sort of go back and remind us of some of 13 the things we talked about yesterday, and then 14 15 we'll do today. 16 You went through yesterday and then you've gone through some today -- we'll do yesterday. 17 18 With Ms. Bryan, you looked at her phone, right? 19 A. Correct. 20 Q. Did you do an extraction on her phone, or did 2.1 22 you just look through it? A. I did an extraction of it. 23 Q. Okay. And what software did you use for 24 25 extraction?

Vol. 4 - 704

- A. For her phone, we used the Cellebrite UFED extraction.
 - Q. Did you use a different program for Mr. Patel's phones?
- A. His phones were done with extractions -
 ultimately, we had an extraction from GrayKey on
- 7 his devices; however, initially we did do
- 8 extractions on his phones with the Cellebrite UFED,
- 9 I believe. I'd have to check. I don't recall exactly.
- 11 Q. How would you check?
- 12 A. I'd have to -- I'd have to review my report. I
 13 don't recall.
- 14 | Q. Do you have your report?
- 15 | A. I do not.

3

- Q. Okay. Bummer. I'll see if I've got it in here in a minute, and then we'll go back around.
- MS. FRETER: While we're here, can I show
 to the witness and the jury defendant -- sorry,

 Government's Exhibit 161.
- 21 BY MS. FRETER:
- Q. And I think you were just talking to the
 Government a little bit about this. What is this,
- 24 | 161?
- 25 \blacksquare A. This is a summary of calls from one of the

2.1

Vol. 4 - 705

devices. It's automatically generated by our forensic software tool based off of whatever section of the data we want to review.

- Q. Okay. And then is your forensic software tool Oxygen Forensic Detective?
- A. That is used for the analysis of the extractions, yes.
 - Q. Okay. So what is the forensic software tool? What is that?
 - A. It's a tool that's specially designed to analyze extractions that are created from any tool we use to create the extraction. There is a wide range of forensic software tools. Oxygen is the one that we use that's licensed to our police department to basically analyze extractions that we receive no matter what device we use to complete the extraction itself.
 - Q. And so I'm going to sort of take that a little bit apart. The Cellebrite hardware, the box that you plug into, you used that for Ms. Bryan's phone, right?
- A. Correct.
 - Q. And Cellebrite has a, sort of, companion software thing that goes with it called Cellebrite Reader? Are you familiar with that?

Vol. 4 - 706

- 1 A. Yes, it does.
- 2 \ Q. And have you used that before?
- 3 A. Yes, I have.
- 4 Q. And that's a tool that you can use -- a
- 5 software tool you can use to analyze the data that
- 7 A. Yes, you can.
- 8 Q. And then for Mr. Patel's phone, you used
- 9 something called GrayKey; is that right?
- 10 A. Correct.
- 11 | Q. And GrayKey, for whatever reasons, works better
- 12 | with iPhones than Androids?
- 13 A. Yes, it does.
- 14 | Q. And so it was your choice in this case to use
- 15 | the GrayKey hardware to extract Mr. Patel's iPhone
- 16 data; is that right?
- 17 | A. Yes.
- 18 | Q. But then in analyzing it, you used this Oxygen
- 19 | Forensic Detective instead of something like
- 20 | Cellebrite Reader or some other product?
- 21 A. Correct.
- 22 | Q. And this Oxygen Forensic Detective enables you
- 23 | to -- after you do the extraction -- which is
- 24 mostly like making a copy? Is that fair to say?
- 25 A. Yes.

Vol. 4 - 707

- Q. It allows you to go in and tag things; is that right?
 - A. Correct.

3

- Q. And then you can put all of those tags together
- 5 in any different way you want into a report?
- 6 A. Into a summary, yes.
- 7 | Q. A summary?
- 8 | A. Yes.
- 9 Q. Okay. And that summary or report is what we're
- 10 | looking at here in Exhibit 161; is that right?
- 11 A. Correct, except these aren't based specifically
- 12 off the tags I created during the investigation.
- 13 Q. Okay. But meaning that there's more data in
- 14 | this 161 than just the tag part?
- 15 A. Correct.
- 16 | Q. Okay. And so if we look down here -- I think
- 17 | there's one on this -- the Cellebrite Reader
- 18 reporter looks differently than this Oxygen
- 19 | Forensic Detective does; is that right?
- 20 | A. If I -- are you asking if I'd had the summary
- 21 generated by Cellebrite, if it would've looked
- 22 different?
- 23 | Q. Yeah, it's just like a different format --
- 24 A. Format, yes.
- 25 \parallel Q. It just looks differently.

Vol. 4 - 708

1 | A. Yes.

- Q. They're essentially the same thing, but they
- 3 | view differently?
- 4 A. Correct.
- 5 Q. And they have -- Cellebrite sometimes puts the
- 6 call part or the text part in one place and then
- 7 | the metadata in a separate place; is that right?
- 8 It's just like the format is different. Here we
- 9 can see it's all put together, right, so you've got
- 10 | the call and then you've got all the stuff
- 11 | associated with it, that it was in WhatsApp, all of
- 12 | it is all together in one piece?
- 13 | A. Yes.
- 14 | Q. And it's just a formatting change based on
- 15 | their software?
- 16 | A. Correct.
- 17 | Q. Okay. I don't know if this is one of the right
- 18 ones, but there are -- in some of the exhibits that
- 19 \parallel we looked at, at the bottom of it, it does say,
- 20 | like, "tag," and it says "tag" is an actual note
- 21 and then it says "suspect's phone." Do you
- 22 remember that?
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 | Q. Okay. And that tag and the identification of
- 25 | "suspect's phone" was something that you put in?

Vol. 4 - 709

- A. That is correct.
- Q. And you either had the software search or you
- 3 searched by hand a certain phone number that you
- 4 were interested in and you tagged that as
- 5 "suspect's phone"?
- 6 A. Correct.
- 7 Q. And then you had the software pull up all the
- 8 ones that had that number, and it compiled it into
- 9 a report?
- 10 A. Yes.

- 11 MS. FRETER: And we can take this down.
- 12 BY Ms. FRETER:
- 13 | Q. In talking about the calls and the texts and
- 14 | the time that Ms. Bryan's phone was on with this
- 15 suspect phone yesterday, I can't remember the
- 16 | number of hours, but it was a lot. It was 10 or 20
- 17 | hours. Wasn't it a lot of hours?
- 18 \parallel A. It was a significant number of hours.
- 19 Q. And you testified that it was, in your training
- 20 | and experience, that one of the reasons somebody
- 21 | would do that -- and I want to make sure I got it
- 22 | right -- is to maintain control to ensure no one
- 23 | else could interfere with the scam?
- 24 | A. Correct.
- 25 | Q. And can you explain a little bit more, based on

2.1

Vol. 4 - 710

your training, what that means? Fill that out for us a little bit.

- A. Yes. One of the ways these scams operate is they try and isolate the victim from people around her who might identify that it's a scam. They try and shorten the amount of time in the bank where the bank may ask her a lot of questions. They try and ensure she's not talking to family too much, who might start questioning her financial decisions or why she's moving large quantities of money, things like that.
- Q. I'm going to have you move that mic, just the whole stand, just a little closer to you because when you turn toward them, we lose you a little bit.
- A. I apologize.
 - Q. That's okay. And so there's this piece of isolation, if I keep you on the phone for three hours, you're not able to talk to anybody else, right?
- A. Yes.
- Q. There's also this sort of sense, when you look at Ms. Bryan's records and what was happening, it's like text, call, text? It's just this sort of barrage of pushed communications; is that right?

- 1 A. Correct.
- 2 Q. And then also, at the same time, there's the
- 3 request or demand for her to do stuff, go to the
- 4 bank, go to the Bitcoin, and then send all these
- 5 pictures, right?
- 6 A. Correct.
- 7 Q. And then within her phone, they're saying, you
- 8 know, send us a picture of the cash or send us a
- 9 picture of the box; is that right?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. And it keeps the person who that's directed to
- 12 | busy with these tasks all the time; is that
- 13 || right?
- 14 | A. Correct.
- 15 Q. And in her phone you can tell that some of the
- 16 stuff that she was sending wasn't even read; is
- 17 | that correct?
- 18 A. I don't recall.
- 19 | Q. Okay. But it's possible, if you go back
- 20 | through the records, that the person who is
- 21 demanding all this stuff may not even be reading
- 22 | these messages, that the purpose is just to push
- 23 her to do all this stuff all the time?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 | Q. Not that they really need it, they just want

Vol. 4 - 712

- 1 her being active in this fraud situation, right?
 - A. That's fair to say.
 - Q. And that's part of this isolation?
- 4 | A. Yes.

2

- Q. When you were looking at Mr. Patel's phones,
- 6 you just went through with the Government -- I
- 7 think it was --
- 8 MS. FRETER: If we can have up, from my
- 9 computer again, Government's 166.
- 10 BY MS. FRETER:
- 11 | Q. Which, this is that summary that -- you did
- 12 | this summary, right?
- 13 A. This summary was put together by the
- 14 prosecution. I reviewed it.
- 15 | Q. You reviewed it, and it's based on the phone
- 16 records that you looked at --
- 17 | A. Yes.
- 18 | Q. -- when you did the extraction?
- 19 A. That is correct.
- 20 | Q. And this is accurate?
- 21 | A. Yes.
- 22 | Q. And what you did is you took the calls on -- or
- 23 | texts from important dates relative to this case?
- 24 So, for example, April 10th is an important date in
- 25 | this case because that's when Ms. Bryan gave the

- 1 box, right?
- 2 A. Correct.
- 3 | Q. And then, also, if we go down here, April 20th
- 4 is an important date in this case because that's
- 5 | the day that Mr. Patel gets arrested?
- 6 A. Correct.
- 7 Q. And so you took those important dates and you
- 8 -- or the Government did, but you saw it. All
- 9 | these calls and texts and WhatsApp, you put it all
- 10 | into the summary chart to show how many there were
- 11 and when they were; is that right?
- 12 | A. Correct.
- 13 Q. And in this summary, there's 39 calls or texts
- 14 | or communications; is that right?
- 15 A. Correct.
- 16 | Q. And they're happening, I think you testified
- 17 | to, like every couple of minutes or sort of
- 18 continuously; is that right?
- 19 A. In some instances, there's an hour, or longer,
- 20 \parallel gaps between several of them.
- 21 | Q. And then we can go to -- this is Government's
- 22 | Exhibit 159. We just looked at this. This is the
- 23 driving directions to Hollyhock Lane; is that
- 24 | right?
- 25 | A. Correct.

Vol. 4 - 714

- Q. And this is from the April 20th, so the date of the arrest day; is that right?
 - A. Can I see the metadata on the second page?
 - Q. Sure. I'll zoom out a little bit.
- 5 A. That's correct.
- Q. Okay. So this is the second -- down here, this
- 7 April 20th date, that's the day of the arrest,
- 8 right?

3

- 9 A. Correct.
- 10 Q. And this is a screenshot that's taken and
- 11 stored in the phone; is that right?
- 12 | A. Correct.
- 13 Q. So it's not that you're looking through the
- 14 phone -- or when you extract data, it's not like
- 15 | the Maps application is still open?
- 16 A. No, not for this specific instant -- I'm sorry.
- 17 Can you ask it again.
- 18 Q. The Maps application may be open, but that's
- 19 | not where this comes from?
- 20 A. No. That is a screenshot that was taken at
- 21 approximately 2:34 of the app.
- 22 | Q. Most people know, but a screenshot, most
- 23 phones, you just, like, press the camera button and
- 24 | the volume button down, and it captures whatever is
- 25 on the screen of the phone, right?

- 1 | A. Yes.
- 2 Q. And then you can tell, when you analyze the
- 3 phone, that this picture, this screenshot, was then
- 4 sent back out, right?
- 5 A. Scroll back down in the metadata if you would,
- 6 please. This one specifically I cannot say that
- 7 based off of this photo data.
- 8 Q. You saw within the phone that there were map
- 9 screenshots in this whole thing that were sent
- 10 out?
- 11 A. That is correct.
- 12 | Q. Okay. And for this one, particularly, there's
- 13 maps, and we looked at gas station videos, that
- 14 | indicate that Mr. Patel was at or near this
- 15 | Hollyhock address on April 10th of 2022, right?
- 16 A. That is correct.
- 17 | Q. And this one, again, is on April 20th of
- 18 | 2022?
- 19 A. That is correct.
- 20 | Q. So, but -- okay.
- 21 MS. FRETER: That's good. And then -- we
- 22 can take this down.
- 23 BY MS. FRETER:
- Q. There's also a video that we looked at from
- 25 that April 10th that had that shoebox in it that

Vol. 4 - 716

- 1 Ms. Bryan said that she had put cash into; is that 2 right?
 - A. That is correct.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

- Q. And do you recall that video was sent out; is that right?
 - A. I'd have to review the metadata for that again.
 - Q. Does it sound familiar that that's the one you went through with the Government when he's at the gas station?
- A. I believe so. I believe that that was associated with the WhatsApp bundle ID.
- Q. And so WhatsApp is a -- well, it's an application, right, that you can -- it's like
 Snapchat, which is also an application, where you can send text and video, right?
- 17 A. Correct.
- Q. WhatsApp you can also make phone calls through?
- 20 A. Correct.
- Q. It's the same kind of -- type of program you
 might get a text message app on your phone, it
 might be a different sort of one, but it's just an
 application where you can do a whole bunch of
 communication with? Is that fair to say?

- 1 A. That's fair to say.
- Q. And there's other ones. Facebook Messenger you
- 3 can send videos and texts; and by texts, I mean
- 4 writing, right?
- 5 A. Correct.
- Q. And so in this WhatsApp on Mr. Patel's phone
- 7 you could tell there was both written, text-type
- 8 communication, right?
- 9 A. Correct.
- 10 Q. And then also phone, talking communication?
- 11 A. Correct.
- 12 | Q. And sending of videos and pictures also?
- 13 A. Correct.
- 14 | Q. And the ones that you looked at at the gas
- 15 station, those were from April 10th of 2022,
- 16 | right?
- 17 A. Correct.
- 18 | Q. And there was both phone calls and texts and
- 19 | video on that day?
- 20 A. Correct.
- 21 | Q. And that -- I think that you said with the
- 22 Government that that was -- that those -- you could
- 23 | tell from the metadata that those were taken on
- 24 | April the 10th; is that right?
- 25 A. Correct.

ase 3:2	23-cr-30076-SPM Document 128 Filed 07/01/25 Page 46 of 198 Page ID #1340
	HOYLAND - REDIRECT/REED Vol. 4 - 718
1	Q. And then they were deleted on April the 12th?
2	A. Correct.
3	Q. So two days later those got put into the delete
4	folder; is that right?
5	A. Yes, they were moved to the trash.
6	Q. And then you were able to extract them later
7	even though they were in the delete folder?
8	A. Correct.
9	Q. What color was Mr. Patel's car?
10	A. Maroon.
11	Q. And that's you saw it both in person at the
12	time of the arrest, right?
13	A. Correct.
14	Q. And you also saw it on this gas station
15	video?
16	A. Correct.
17	Q. And if you had to call it in, you would say
18	maroon car with Illinois plates?
19	A. Correct.
20	MS. FRETER: I don't have anything
21	further.
22	MR. REED: Just briefly, Judge.
23	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
24	BY MR. REED:

Q. Defense counsel asked you about different phone

Page ID HOYLAND - REDIRECT/REED Vol. 4 - 719extraction programs; is that fair? A. Correct. Q. Do you have access, either through your office or other offices, to multiple programs? A. Yes, I do. Is it your practice to extract the same phone in different programs? A. Yes. Q. Cellebrite is one of those programs? A. Correct. Q. GrayKey is one of those programs? A. Correct. Q. Okay. And this Oxygen Forensic, is that related to GrayKey? A. It's not associated with the company that makes either of those. Q. Okay. What's that? A. Oxygen is a company that makes their own version of a -- both analysis tool and an

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

extraction tool; however, it doesn't have quite the capabilities of Cellebrite or GrayKey for extraction purposes. For analysis purposes, it does.

Q. Earlier this morning we looked at several photos and videos and call records from Mr. Patel's 1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

HOYLAND - REDIRECT/REED Vol. 4 - 720Is that fair to say? phone. A. Yeah. Those records that we looked at, they Q. Okay. showed up in multiple extractions? A. Yes. Q. And of course, the fact that two programs are operating the same way and producing that same information suggests it's pretty good information? A. Correct. Q. Defense counsel also asked you about Government's Exhibit 166. MR. REED: And if we could pull that up. BY MR. REED: Q. These are the phone calls with those two individuals between Patel and Danny and Patel and "Pickup"? A. Correct. Q. Are there a lot of calls on April 10 and April 20? There's a fair number, yes. Q. Fewer calls on other days? Α. Yes. On Virginia Bryan's phone, we talked about

maintaining control and isolation. How long did

HOYLAND - REDIRECT/REED Vol. 4 - 721 Ms. Bryan tend to be on the phone in the course of a day? A. Hours and hours. O. Hours and hours? She spent a significant amount of time on the phone with the scammer. Q. How does that compare to how Nirav Patel is communicating with "Pickup" and Danny? A. Completely different. There is a number of calls; however, the duration of most of them is -several of them are less than a minute. Some of them are a few minutes long, but it's nothing compared to the contact with Ms. Bryan. Q. Fair to say that the calls also tend to relate to events that are happening? A. Yes. Q. Okay. So one event would be when he starts out? A. Correct. MS. FRETER: Objection, Your Honor. This calls for speculation. THE COURT: Overruled.

22

BY MR. REED:

Q. One of those would be as he's going?

25 Α. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

23

Vol. 4 - 722

HOYLAND - RECROSS/FRETER

Another would be when he gets there? 1 A. Correct. 2 Q. And another would be that picture of the dollar 3 bill where he's someplace else? 4 5 A. Correct. Q. And if we look at this, just to be very clear, 6 this 166 does not show any communication on April 7 12 with "Pickup" or Danny, right, on April 12? 8 A. Correct. 9 In fact, the whole phone extraction, no phone 10 11 communication with them on that day? A. Correct. 12 Q. But that was the day that Nirav Patel deleted 13 those photos and videos connecting him to 14 15 Edwardsville on April 10th from his phone? 16 A. Correct. 17 MR. REED: No further questions. **RECROSS-EXAMINATION** 18 BY MS. FRETER: 19 20 The communications with Ms. Bryan were also associated with events, correct? 2.1 22 A. Not specifically. They were kind of There was the events for, like, going 23 continuous. to the bank, things like that; however, there was 24 25 several calls where she was just at her house, and

	HOYLAND - RECROSS/FRETER Vol. 4 - 723
1	
1	he just stayed on the phone with her.
2	Q. But there were calls and messages when she was
3	at the bank?
4	A. That is true.
5	Q. There were calls and messages when she was at
6	the Bitcoin?
7	A. Yes.
8	Q. There were calls and messages where they were
9	directing her to find the Bitcoin or whatever,
10	right?
11	A. Yes.
12	Q. And when she's at her house, she's not driving,
13	correct?
14	A. Correct.
15	MS. FRETER: I don't have anything
16	further.
17	MR. REED: Nothing else, Judge. Thank
18	you, sir.
19	THE COURT: All right. You may step down.
20	(Witness excused.)
21	THE COURT: Let's take a five-minute
22	recess, and we'll come back with the next witness.
23	(Recess at 10:03 a.m. until 10:14 a.m.)
24	(Jury present.)
25	THE COURT: Call your next witness.

KESAR - DIRECT/REED Vol. 4 - 724MR. REED: Judge, the Government calls 1 Gurdeep, G-u-r-d-e-e-p, Kesar, K-e-s-a-r. 2 3 (Witness sworn.) COURTROOM DEPUTY: Please state your full 4 5 name and spell your last name for the Court. THE WITNESS: Gurdeep and last name Kesar, 6 7 K-e-s-a-r. COURTROOM DEPUTY: Thank you so much. 8 Have a seat. 9 THE WITNESS: Thank you. 10 11 GURDEEP KESAR, GOVERNMENT'S WITNESS, DIRECT EXAMINATION 12 BY MR. REED: 13 Q. Ma'am, if you'll pull in your chair a little 14 15 bit so you can talk into the microphone. This 16 ceiling is a sound killer, so the more you can talk into the mic, the better. 17 A. I'm fine. 18 Q. Good morning, ma'am. How are you employed? 19 A. I'm good. 20 2.1 What do you do for work? I do translation and interpretation. 22 Α. Q. And Ms. Kesar, where were you born? 23 A. I was born in India. 24 25 Okay. You're here to testify about

KESAR - DIRECT/REED Vol. 4 - 725translations from Hindi into English? 1 2 A. Yes. 3 Q. And how do you know Hindi, ma'am? A. That is the national language in India, and 4 5 everybody has to learn it. Q. Okay. And you knew it from growing up in 6 India? 7 A. Correct. 8 O. You learned it as a child? 9 A. Yes. 10 Q. Do you still have family in India? 11 A. Yes. 12 Q. Did you go to college? 13 A. Yes. 14 15 Q. Where did you go to college? 16 A. In India. Okay. Did you obtain a bachelor's degree? 17 18 Α. Yes. And what was that degree in? 19 It was in education. 20 2.1 And when was that about? 22 Α. I don't remember. I'm 74. 1970s or so? 23 Q. A. Maybe -- yes, in '70s maybe. 24

Okay. Did you learn English while you were in

25

Q.

```
KESAR - DIRECT/REED
                                                Vol. 4 - 726
      India?
 1
 2
      A. Yes.
 3
          What did you do after college?
      A. I got married.
 4
 5
         You got married?
 6
      A. Yes.
 7
          Did you stay in India?
      Q.
 8
      A. No, I went to Nigeria.
          To Nigeria?
 9
      Q.
          Yes.
      Α.
10
      Q. How long were you in Nigeria?
11
      A. About seven years.
12
      Q. And what language was spoken in the area of
13
14
      Nigeria where you lived?
15
      A. English.
16
          Did you move from Nigeria?
      A. Yes.
17
18
         Where'd you go?
      Q.
         USA.
19
      Α.
20
          And about -- roughly when was that?
      A. 1984.
2.1
      Q. 1984?
22
23
          Yes.
      Α.
      Q. So you've been here in the United States for
24
25
      about 40 years?
```

KESAR - DIRECT/REED Vol. 4 - 727

- 1 A. Exactly.
- 2 Q. Do you have kids?
- 3 | A. Yes.
- 4 Q. Were they born here in the United States?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. And did your family speak English or Hindi at
- 7 home as they were growing up?
- 8 A. I speak Punjabi and my husband, too, but my
- 9 children, they speak English.
- 10 | Q. Okay. When did you begin doing translation
- 11 | work?
- 12 A. In 2006.
- 13 | Q. So about 18, 19 years ago?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 | Q. And are you employed somewhere, or are you a
- 16 | freelancer on your own?
- 17 | A. I'm sorry?
- 18 | Q. Are you employed with a specific agency, or are
- 19 you a freelancer?
- 20 A. I am a freelancer.
- 21 | Q. And where are you based, ma'am? What state?
- 22 A. I work for Lionbridge, and I work for many
- 23 agencies in New York.
- 24 | Q. Okay. You mentioned Lionbridge. How long have
- 25 you worked for Lionbridge?

- 1 A. Since 2008 or '6, somewhere like that.
- 2 Q. And they're not the only interpretation service
- 3 you work with?
- 4 | A. No.
- 5 Q. Okay. Geneva Worldwide, is that another one?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. International Interpreters?
- 8 | A. Yes.
- 9 Q. Legal Interpreting Services?
- 10 A. Correct.
- 11 | Q. Okay. And what kind of settings -- so we're in
- 12 | a courthouse now. What other kind of settings have
- 13 you done translation work in?
- 14 A. I do depositions.
- 15 | Q. Depositions?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 | Q. Okay. And what else?
- 18 A. Translations, depositions; many kinds of
- 19 depositions, I mean, like motor vehicle accidents,
- 20 \parallel slip and fall, trip and fall, all those kind.
- 21 0. Whatever comes in?
- 22 A. Yeah, whatever is there.
- 23 | Q. And do you also do written interpretation -- or
- 24 written translations?
- 25 A. Yes.

Vol. 4 - 729

- 1 Q. Documents and that kind of thing?
 - A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

15

16

- Q. From Hindi into English?
- A. Punjabi-English, Hindi-English, yes.

MR. REED: Judge, at this time I move to qualify Ms. Kesar as an expert in the translation and interpretation from the Hindi language into the English language.

MS. FRETER: No objection.

THE COURT: You may offer opinions without objection.

- 12 BY MR. REED:
- Q. How did you become involved in this particular case, ma'am?
 - A. I got this from Lionbridge, this project.
 - Q. Okay. So you were retained to do the
- 17 | translation work by Lionbridge?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. And then Lionbridge has a contract with
- 20 Homeland Security Investigations?
- 21 | A. Yes.
- 22 Q. Okay. Were you compensated for your work with
- 23 | Lionbridge?
- 24 | A. Yes.
- 25 | Q. Okay. And were you separately retained to

KESAR - DIRECT/REED Vol. 4 - 730travel and testify in this trial? 1 A. Correct. 2 Q. Okay. Lionbridge didn't cover that? 3 A. No. 4 5 Q. Okay. So you were separately retained by my office, the U.S. Attorney's Office? 6 7 A. Yes. Q. And are you being compensated to testify as 8 well? 9 A. Yes. 10 Q. So through Lionbridge did you receive a 11 recorded interview of Nirav Patel by HSI agents? 12 A. Yes. 13 Q. Was part of that interview conducted in 14 15 Hindi? A. Yes. 16 Q. Did you listen to that interview? 17 18 A. Yes. Q. And created a transcript of the interview? 19 A. Yes. 20 2.1 Q. And the transcript takes the Hindi -- the 22 spoken Hindi recording and puts it in written English? 23 A. Correct. 24 25 MR. REED: So if we can put up

KESAR - CROSS/FRETER Vol. 4 - 731 Government's Exhibit 6. 1 BY MR. REED: 2 Q. Ma'am, are the translations contained in this 3 transcript from Hindi to English true and accurate 4 5 to the best of your knowledge? A. Yes. 6 MR. REED: Move to admit Government's 7 Exhibit 6. 8 MS. FRETER: No objection. 9 THE COURT: 6 is admitted. 10 (Government's Exhibit No. 6 was received 11 in evidence.) 12 MR. REED: No further questions, ma'am. 13 Thank you. 14 15 THE WITNESS: Thank you. 16 THE COURT: Ma'am, she has some questions 17 for you. MR. REED: Please sit back down. 18 may be a few more questions for you. 19 CROSS-EXAMINATION 20 BY MS. FRETER: 2.1 22 Q. In India, which is a very large country, there are different regions; is that right? 23 A. Yes. 24 25 Q. And then is it Punjar (ph.), is that --

KESAR - CROSS/FRETER

- 1 A. Punjabi.
- 2 | Q. Punjabi, is that both the region and the local
- 3 language?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 | Q. And then Gujarati is also a language of a
- 6 different region; is that right?
- 7 A. Correct, yes.
- 8 Q. And what region does Gujarati go with?
- 10 | Q. And so Hindi is a -- when you say a national
- 11 | language, it's a language that covers the whole of
- 12 India; is that right?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 | Q. And so I'm sorry. I can't hear you. Can you
- 15 move that?
- 16 A. Yes, yes.
- 17 | Q. And would you say that Hindi is sort of a
- 18 | more -- I don't want to say -- is a more formalized
- 19 || or more official language than Punjabi or
- 20 ∥ Gujarati?
- 21 A. That's correct.
- 22 | Q. And would you say that the difference -- do you
- 23 speak Gujarati?
- 24 A. No.
- 25 | Q. Can you understand it at all?

KESAR - CROSS/FRETER

- 1 A. Few words only.
- $2 \parallel Q$. But not really?
- 3 | A. No.
- 4 Q. But Punjabi you understand very well?
- 5 A. Of course, yes.
- 6 Q. And would you say the difference between
- 7 | Punjabi and Hindi is the difference between like
- 8 Italian and Spanish?
- 9 A. No, they're a sister language. Like Hindi and
- 10 | Punjabi, they're sisters. Like few words are
- 11 different but mostly 90 percent is the same.
- 12 | Q. Is that also true for Gujarati?
- 13 A. I don't know much about Gujarati, but few
- 14 | words, I think, they're similar like Hindi.
- 15 | Q. Okay. When you do your translation work, have
- 16 you ever come across or had to use Google
- 17 | Translate?
- 18 | A. No.
- 19 Q. Have you ever heard of people having experience
- 20 with Google Translate?
- 21 **|** A. No.
- 22 | Q. And then, in this case, did you work on this
- 23 | transcript -- when did you do the transcript
- 24 between the video and the transcript you made?
- 25 A. I think sometime in October last year.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

KESAR - CROSS/FRETER Vol. 4 - 734Q. October of twenty --A. Four. Q. -- four? A. Correct. Q. Did you only work on it in October of '24, or did you rework on it sometime later? A. I think I did some missing translation. Ι filled that, yes. Q. And when did you fill in the missing translation? A. Maybe by December. Q. Maybe? I'm sorry. I didn't hear you. A. By December. O. December? A. '24, yes. So you did a transcript in October of '24 and then later it was communicated to you to sort of fill out the transcript some more; is that right? A. A little bit, yes. Q. Is that because the first time that you did it in October it didn't include all of the words that were spoken? A. Yes. Q. And then when you went back in December, you

added more to the transcript?

KESAR - CROSS/FRETER

- 1 A. Correct.
- 2 Q. Can you estimate how much you had to add
- 3 between October and December, how much extra words
- 4 there were?
- 5 A. Maybe 2 percent.
- 6 Q. And when you're doing a transcript or a
- 7 | translation from an audio and videotape, it can
- 8 sometimes be hard to hear or it's mumbly what the
- 9 person is saying; is that right?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 | Q. And you have to go back over it a bunch of
- 12 | times to just try to hear what it is that they're
- 13 | talking about; is that fair?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 | Q. And you try your very best to make out what
- 16 | those words are?
- 17 A. Correct.
- 18 | Q. But sometimes you just can't hear it?
- 19 | A. Yes.
- 20 | Q. And unlike here, when I can't hear you, I can
- 21 | ask you to speak louder, right?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 | Q. But on the tape, you're just stuck with
- 24 whatever anybody gives you?
- 25 A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

KESAR - REDIRECT/REED Vol. 4 - 736Q. And when you can't hear what the words are, you put into the transcript "UI;" is that right? A. Yes. Q. And that's for "unintelligible"? A. Yes. Q. And how did you learn to put "UI" in there? That's a part of the translation. Q. Do you know what the -- the -- the tape that you -- well, that's too far. MS. FRETER: I don't have anything further. REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. REED: Q. Ma'am, you listened to that recording probably many times, right? A. Yes. Q. You speak Hindi and you've done this a lot of times? A. Yes. Q. Did it seem that the speakers who were speaking in Hindi were fluent in Hindi? A. Yes. MR. REED: No further questions. THE COURT: Any recross? MS. FRETER: No, thank you.

	KAUR - DIRECT/REED Vol. 4 - 737
1	THE COURT: You may step down, ma'am.
2	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
3	(Witness excused.)
4	THE COURT: Call your next witness.
5	MR. REED: Judge, the Government calls
6	Harleen Kaur.
7	COURTROOM DEPUTY: Please raise your right
8	hand.
9	(Witness sworn.)
10	COURTROOM DEPUTY: Please state your full
11	name and spell your last name for the Court.
12	THE WITNESS: Full name is Harleen Kaur,
13	K-a-u-r.
14	COURTROOM DEPUTY: Thank you so much.
15	Have a seat.
16	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
17	HARLEEN KAUR, GOVERNMENT'S WITNESS,
18	DIRECT EXAMINATION
19	BY MR. REED:
20	Q. Good morning, ma'am. Where do you work?
21	A. Good morning. I work for Homeland Security
22	Investigations, known as HSI.
23	Q. And how long have you been with HSI?
24	A. I have been with HSI since September of 2022.
25	Q. Okay. And what kind of work does HSI do?
	Π

Vol. 4 - 738

A. Homeland Security Investigations is the investigative arm of the Department of Homeland

3 Security. We investigate a wide range of criminal

4 investigations ranging from narcotics to gangs to

5 | violent crime to white-collar crime, financial

crimes, elder abuse, trafficking, alien smuggling

 $7 \parallel$ and whatnot.

- Q. Okay. And what did you do before becoming a
- 9 | Special Agent with HSI?
- 10 A. I worked for Enforcement and Removal Operations
- 11 as a deportation officer. ERO is responsible for
- 12 | the arrest, detention and, ultimately, the removal
- of illegal immigrants and individuals that pose a
- 14 public safety or national security risk to the
- 15 United States.
- 16 Q. I'll ask you to slow down just a little bit for
- 17 the court reporter.
- 18 A. Okay.
- 19 Q. How long were you in an enforcement role for
- 20 borders?
- 21 A. 2018 until 2022.
- 22 | Q. Did you go to college, ma'am?
- 23 | A. I did.
- 24 | Q. Where did you go to college?
- 25 A. I had my bachelor's in criminal justice and law

- 1 -- criminology and law studies at Marquette, and I
- 2 did my master's in forensic psychology at Walden
- 3 University.
- 4 | Q. When did you complete your master's degree?
- 5 A. In 2015.
- Q. Ma'am, where were you born?
- 7 A. I was born in India.
- 8 Q. How long did you live in India?
- 9 A. Until I was seven years old, and then I came to
- 10 the United States.
- 11 | Q. What is your native language?
- 12 A. Hindi.
- 13 Q. Your family moved to the United States when you
- 14 were seven?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 | Q. Did you grow up in an Indian-American
- 17 community?
- 18 | A. I did, yes.
- 19 | Q. And do you still have family and friends in
- 20 India?
- 21 | A. I do.
- 22 | Q. How often do you go back to India?
- 23 \parallel A. I try to go back every other year. The last
- 24 | time I went back was 2022, and I'm actually going
- 25 | again on this Tuesday.

Vol. 4 - 740

- 1 | Q. Are you still fluent in Hindi?
- $2 \parallel A$. I am.

- Q. Is Hindi spoken widely in India?
- 4 A. Yes. India has 22 not national but regional
- 5 | languages, which Hindi is the widespread -- from
- 6 Northern India all the way to Southern India, it's
- 7 | widely spoken.
- 8 Q. Did you review the phone extractions in this
- 9 case for Nirav Patel?
- 10 | A. I did.
- 11 | Q. What languages besides English were the
- 12 documents in that -- in those phone extractions?
- 13 A. They were in Gujarati.
- 14 | Q. Were there documents in any other language?
- 15 | A. I believe I recall some documents in Hindi as
- 16 | well.
- 17 | O. Were those handwritten?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 | Q. Do you speak Gujarati?
- 20 A. I cannot.
- 21 | Q. Okay. It's a different language?
- 22 | A. It is. It is a completely different language
- 23 than Hindi. The words -- some of the words are
- 24 | very similar, but other than that, it's a different
- 25 | language. It's written differently. The script is

#1363

KAUR - DIRECT/REED Vol. 4 - 741

1 different from Hindi.

vou remember that?

- Q. Yesterday we looked at some Gujarati text
 messages, and we talked about the word "mama." Do
- 5 | A. I do.

4

15

16

- Q. What do your parents call you now that you work for HSI?
- A. So "mama" in slang in Hindi, actually, is the same word that we use for a police officer or a cop. So sometimes when my dad's driving down, he sees a police officers on the side of the road, he's like, Oh, I have to slow down. I'm like, Yeah, you also have a "mama" sitting right next to you, too, so make sure you slow down.
 - Q. Do you recall yesterday -- well, maybe it was a couple days ago -- looking at a series of screenshots from Google Translate?
- 18 A. Yes.
- MR. REED: Can we pull up Government's Exhibit 51.
- 21 BY MR. REED:
- 22 Q. You've done some border work in the past.
- 23 Would you ever use Google Translate?
- 24 A. I would, yes.
- 25 Q. And these translations, what language is being

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

KAUR - DIRECT/REED Vol. 4 - 742used here? A. Hindi. Q. English to Hindi? A. Yes, English to Hindi. You speak both English and Hindi? Α. I do, yes. If you had been asked the questions that are in Hindi here, would you have understood them to mean the same as the English in these --A. So this specific screenshot, I cannot read it because I cannot read or write in Hindi, but some of the other ones that had the English phonetics, basically, I can understand that. MR. REED: Okay. So if we can go to page 2. THE WITNESS: So, for example, this one, underneath it, it says, "aapake fon ka paasakod kya hai," which conveys, "What is the passcode for your phone?" BY MR. REED: Q. So as a native Hindi speaker, if you had seen these, you would have understood what is going on? A. Yes, absolutely.

Okay. You mentioned earlier that HSI handles

Vol. 4 - 743

- 1 | international cases?
- A. Yes, we do. We have 93 offices in 56
- 3 countries.

- 4 | Q. And have you been involved in other cases
- 5 involving similar India-based fraud schemes?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- 7 Q. We've looked at these dollar bills a handful of
- 8 | times. In your training and experience working on
- 9 India-based fraud schemes, is that something you've
- 10 seen before?
- 11 A. Yes, I have.
- 12 | Q. So let's start by talking about immigration.
- 13 Under what circumstance can a non-citizen enter the
- 14 United States?
- 15 \parallel A. They can enter through multiple ways. They can
- 16 enter through by being granted a visa, a
- 17 | non-immigrant visa or immigrant visa. They can
- 18 come into the country illegally or through parole
- 19 or deferred inspections. There are many ways to
- 20 enter the United States.
- 21 | Q. Okay. So when it comes to statuses, you can
- 22 have a visa or some other lawful status?
- 23 A. Correct.
- 24 \parallel Q. And where can non-citizens enter the country?
- $25 \parallel A$. They can enter the country through any port of

- 1 entry such as an airport or the border.
- 2 Q. And not just anywhere on the border but
- 3 specific authorized points of entry?
- 4 A. Correct.
- 5 Q. And why do they need to go through a specific
- 6 authorized point of entry?
- 7 A. Can you repeat that?
- 8 Q. Why do they have to go through one of these
- 9 authorized entry points?
- 10 A. They have to be inspected by an immigration
- 11 officer and be admitted into the U.S.
- 12 Q. Okay. And is part of that process checking to
- see if they have a visa or a lawful status?
- 14 | A. Correct.
- 15 | Q. Okay. Are records kept of the non-citizens who
- 16 enter the country through an authorized entry
- 17 | point?
- 18 \parallel A. They are, yes.
- 19 | Q. Are you able to access those records?
- 20 | A. Yes, I am.
- 21 | Q. Are they searchable?
- 22 A. They are, yes. When you enter the United
- 23 | States, illegally or legally, there is a -- it's a
- 24 | file system. You get assigned an alien
- 25 registration number, also known as A number, which

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

KAUR - DIRECT/REED Vol. 4 - 745records all the entry -- which basically records all your immigration status all the way from entry ultimately to when you gain citizenship to the U.S. Q. So when Edwardsville Police Department reached out to you about this case, did you check Nirav Patel's immigration status? A. I did. Q. Did you find that he had any record of a visa or lawful status in the United States? A. No, he did not. Q. Did you find any record of entry through an authorized point of entry? A. No, he did not. MR. REED: If you could show the witness Government's Exhibit 4, and we'd move to admit Government's Exhibit 4 as a certified public record. MS. FRETER: No objection. THE COURT: 4 is admitted without objection. (Government's Exhibit No. 4 was received in evidence.) MR. REED: Go down to page 2. BY MR. REED:

- 1 Q. All right. What is this document called?
- 2 A. This document is a Certificate of Non-
- 3 | Existence of Record.
- 4 | Q. And in Paragraph 2 -- if we could look at that
- 5 briefly -- what does it say here?
- 6 A. This says that Citizenship and Immigration
- 7 Services maintains centralized records relating to
- 8 | immigrant aliens who entered the United States on
- 9 or after June 30, 1924, to non-immigrant aliens who
- 10 | entered on or after June 30, 1948, and a
- 11 centralized index of all persons naturalized on or
- 12 after September 27, 1906.
- 13 | Q. This is the record system you were talking
- 14 about?
- 15 A. Correct.
- 16 | Q. Okay. Paragraph 4, it's just a formal document
- 17 | stating what you said earlier, that nothing was
- 18 | found?
- 19 A. Correct. There is no record -- there's no
- 20 alien registration number found for Mr. Patel.
- 21 | Q. Okay. Are you also able to look through that
- 22 -- the database in other ways? Fingerprints?
- 23 A. Oh, correct.
- 24 | Q. Facial recognition?
- 25 A. Facial recognition, biometrics, name and date

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

A. Correct.

KAUR - DIRECT/REED Vol. 4 - 747of birth. Q. Did you do those things here? A. I did, yes. I ran Mr. Patel's fingerprints through our system, and I was not able to find anything. Q. Did you ask Mr. Patel about his immigration status when you interviewed him later in June of 2022? A. I interviewed him in June 2023. Q. June of 2023, thank you, ma'am. I appreciate the correction. You interviewed him in June of 2023? A. I did. Q. Thank you. Did you ask him about his immigration status? A. I did. Q. What did he say? A. He stated that he entered the country illegally by crossing the border from Vancouver into Seattle. Q. And again, that interview was in June of 2023?

Q. Did he say when he had entered the country from

Vol. 4 - 748

1 | Vancouver into Seattle?

- 2 A. I recall he stated that he entered the country
- 3 on or about a year and a half prior to the
- 4 | interview, which would have been on or around
- 5 | January of 2022.
- Q. Did he say anything about the weather when he
- 7 crossed over from Vancouver to Seattle?
- 8 A. He stated that there was a lot of floods during
- 9 that time, a lot of rain, a lot of floods in
- 10 | Vancouver, and I corroborated that through Open
- 11 | Search, and there were -- in fact, there were a lot
- 12 of rain and floods in Vancouver at that time.
- 13 Q. January of 2022?
- 14 | A. Correct.
- 15 | Q. Next topic. We left off with Detective
- 16 | Seubert -- or, I'm sorry, Detective Hoyland with
- 17 | Edwardsville with Nirav Patel in custody; is that
- 18 right?
- 19 A. Correct.
- 20 | Q. Did he stay in custody there?
- 21 A. No, he did not.
- 22 | Q. What happened?
- 23 \parallel A. He was released.
- 24 | Q. On bond?
- 25 A. On bond, correct.

Vol. 4 - 749

- 1 Q. Was law enforcement able to connect that
- 2 | Illinois case with cases in other states?
- 3 \parallel A. Yes, they were.
 - Q. Was he later charged in this case?
- 5 A. He was, yes.
 - 0. And a warrant issued for his arrest?
- 7 A. Correct.
- 8 Q. Did you go to the address that was on his ID?
- 9 A. I did. We conducted surveillance on addresses
- 10 | related to Mr. Patel, and we could not locate
- 11 him.

4

6

- 12 Q. Was he eventually arrested?
- 13 A. He was, yes.
- 14 | Q. And June 2023, when was that?
- 15 \blacksquare A. When he was arrested?
- 16 | Q. June 28, 2023?
- 17 | A. June 28, 2023.
- 18 \parallel Q. Okay. And where did that happen?
- 19 A. That happened in Schaumburg, which is a suburb
- 20 close to Chicago.
- 21 | Q. How did you learn about that arrest?
- 22 A. Schaumburg Police Department called me to
- 23 confirm the active warrant. They have -- when I
- 24 entered the warrant into NCIC, the responding
- 25 agency has to confirm the warrant, in which they

- 1 called me, they confirmed his name and date of
- 2 birth, which I confirmed that was the right
- 3 suspect, and that's how they were able to detain
- 4 him.
- 5 Q. Okay. At the beginning of the interview we'll
- 6 | listen to and watch, there's a reference to you
- 7 | talking to Mr. Patel about 30 minutes before that
- 8 | interview. What was that about?
- 9 A. Schaumburg Police Department had difficulty
- 10 | speaking with Mr. Patel due to the language barrier
- 11 | in which they asked me if I could place him -- or I
- 12 could read him his *Miranda* rights over the phone in
- 13 Hindi.
- 14 | Q. Did you -- did he understand what you had to
- 15 say?
- 16 A. Fluently, yes.
- 17 | Q. And did you re-Mirandize him --
- 18 | A. I did.
- 19 \parallel Q. -- when he got -- when you got there?
- 20 | A. I did, yes.
- $21 \parallel Q$. Was that the same day?
- 22 A. Yes, it was.
- 23 | Q. Did you ask him if he knew Hindi?
- 24 | A. I did.
- 25 Q. What did he say?

Vol. 4 - 751

1 A. He said yes.

2

- Q. And that's on that earlier phone call?
- 3 A. Yes, that was on the phone call, correct.
- Q. So before we get to that interview, I want to
- ask you about a few things that will come up in
- 6 that interview.
- 7 In Patel's phone there's a contact he
- 8 | listed as Danny. Do you remember that?
- 9 A. Correct.
- 10 | Q. When you interviewed Patel, who did he say that
- 11 Danny was?
- 12 A. First he stated that Danny was a cousin; then
- 13 | later on in the interview, he stated that he is
- 14 | like a friend of mine; and then, later in the
- 15 interview again, he stated that he is a distant
- 16 | cousin.
- 17 | Q. Did Patel say that Danny was involved in this
- 18 | fraud as well?
- 19 | A. He did.
- 20 | Q. Were you able to find this Danny?
- 21 \blacksquare A. I was, yes.
- 22 Q. Okay. What is Danny's full name?
- 23 A. Danny's full name is Dinesh Patel.
- 24 | Q. And did Danny say where -- or, I'm sorry, did
- 25 Nirav Patel in the interview say where Danny

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

KAUR - DIRECT/REED Vol. 4 - 752lived? A. Yes. He stated that Danny lives in Atlanta, Georgia. O. Is that somewhere where Nirav Patel had also lived? A. Correct. Q. Were you able to confirm whether Dinesh Patel lived in Atlanta like Nirav Patel said? A. He did. Q. And the phone number for Danny in Patel's phone, did it go back to Dinesh Patel? A. It did. Q. Did you fly out and interview Dinesh Patel? A. I did. Q. Is there still an open investigation against Danny? A. There is. Q. During that interview, does Patel give a name for this "Pickup" number that was on his phone in Edwardsville? A. Just to clarify, Nirav Patel? Q. I apologize again. Thank you for the clarification.

Nirav Patel, is he asked about this

"Pickup" contact that's in his phone?

KAUR - DIRECT/REED Vol. 4 - 753A. He does, yes. 1 Q. Who does he say that that is? 2 A. He claims that that is an individual named 3 Bharat. 4 5 THE DEFENDANT: Bharat. BY MR. REED: 6 7 Q. And when you went and spoke with Dinesh Patel, what did he say? Did he offer that same name? 8 A. He did, yes. Towards the end of the interview, 9 he also claimed that there was a Bharat involved. 10 11 MS. FRETER: Just to go back, you said when you spoke with Dinesh Patel? 12 MR. REED: When she spoke with Dinesh 13 Patel, yes, ma'am. 14 15 MS. FRETER: Not Nirav Patel? MR. REED: Yes, ma'am. 16 BY MR. REED: 17 Q. They both identified -- both Nirav Patel and 18 Dinesh Patel identified a Bharat --19 A. Correct. 20 Q. -- as being involved? 2.1 A. Yes. 22 Q. And that's the name behind that contact that is 23 saved as "Pickup"? 24 25 A. Yes.

KAUR - DIRECT/REED Vol. 4 - 754So he corroborated what Nirav Patel said Q. Okay. 1 in his interview to you? 2 MS. FRETER: Objection, Your Honor. 3 4 Hearsay. 5 THE COURT: Okay. Your objection is hearsay? 6 7 MS. FRETER: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: And response? 8 MR. REED: Judge, it's not for the truth 9 of the matter. I'm just asking if they used the 10 11 same name. THE COURT: Well, is Danny alleged to be a 12 coconspirator? 13 MS. FRETER: Your Honor, can we approach? 14 15 (Sidebar proceedings on the record.) 16 MS. FRETER: Your Honor, if the Government is going to get into coconspirator statements, they 17 didn't provide an 801(d)(2) notice. We didn't have 18 a Santiago hearing. We haven't done any of that 19 work in order to get coconspirators in that we 20 would have needed to do before the trial started, 2.1 22 and so I would object at this point. It's already out because of the miscommunication that I heard 23 when we were having a difference between Nirav and 24 25 Bharat Patel, so this statement is already out, but

22

23

24

25

Vol. 4 - 755

I'll object to all future statements. 1 MR. REED: I don't have anything else on 2 this, Judge. I think it comes in simply -- it's 3 not hearsay. It was not asked originally for the 4 5 truth of the matter asserted. THE COURT: Well, you have her testifying 6 that in an interview with the defendant he 7 identified a Bharat as someone that's involved. So 8 that's -- I don't know how prejudicial it is, but 9 you're moving on from this? 10 MR. REED: I'll move on, Judge. 11 Judge, we're fine having stricken -- do we 12 want to just take out the question about Bharat? 13 MR. WEINHOEFT: We're fine with sustaining 14 15 the objection and striking that answer just to be 16 on the safe side. MS. FRETER: I'm not asking for that 17 relief, Judge. I prefer not to highlight it. 18 MR. WEINHOEFT: Okay. That's fine. 19 MS. FRETER: I misheard the difference 20 between Bharat and Nirav. It's already out there 2.1

MR. WEINHOEFT: Perfect resolution.

THE COURT: All right.

in front the jury. I'm objecting going forward.

They said we're moving on, move on.

KAUR - DIRECT/REED Vol. 4 - 756(End of proceedings at sidebar.) 1 BY MR. REED: 2 Q. Okay. Ma'am, when you interviewed Nirav Patel 3 in Schaumburg, was the room audio-video recorded? 4 5 A. It was. Q. Did Schaumburg Police Department tell you that 6 7 the audio recording in that room might be a little muffled? 8 A. Yes. They informed me that the audio recorder 9 for their interview room was malfunctioning on that 10 day. So I placed my agency-issued recorder on the 11 table. 12 MR. REED: Okay. Government's Exhibit 5 13 for the witness. 14 15 BY MR. REED: 16 Q. Have you listened to this Exhibit 5 before? A. I have. 17 18 Q. Is it a true and accurate recording of the interview on June 28? 19 A. Yes. 20 MR. REED: Move to admit Government's 2.1 22 Exhibit 5. MS. FRETER: No objection. 23 THE COURT: Admitted without objection. 24 25 (Government's Exhibit No. 5 was received

KAUR - DIRECT/REED Vol. 4 - 757in evidence.) 1 BY MR. REED: 2 Q. Ma'am, when we watch this interview, who is 3 initially in the room with Nirav Patel? 4 5 A. That is Special Agent Maslanka and Special Agent Considine (ph.). 6 7 Q. Why did you initially sit out? A. I wanted to see if Mr. Patel was able to 8 understand, comprehend English. When I realized 9 that he couldn't, I went in to translate. 10 Q. And specifically the Miranda warnings? 11 A. Correct, correct. 12 Q. During this interview, what language is Nirav 13 Patel primarily speaking? 14 15 A. Hindi. Q. And also some English? 16 A. Correct. 17 18 Q. Okay. What language are you speaking to Mr. Patel? 19 A. Hindi. 20 Q. Based on his answers and his body language, did 2.1 22 he seem to understand you? A. Yes. 23 Q. Could you understand him? 24 25 Α. Yes.

- 1 | Q. No problems understanding him?
- 2 A. No. The interview was two hours long, and he
- 3 did not mention once that he was not able to
- 4 understand me or comprehend what I was asking
- 5 him.
- 6 Q. And when the agents initially read him his
- 7 Miranda rights in English, does he indicate that
- 8 he's having trouble understanding some of those
- 9 concepts?
- 10 | A. He does. He tells Special Agent Maslanka very
- 11 | little English at that point that they called me in
- 12 to translate.
- 13 | Q. Did he ever say anything like that to you about
- 14 | Hindi?
- 15 A. He did not.
- 16 \parallel Q. All right. So at some point near the very end
- 17 | of this exhibit, we lose the video. What's going
- 18 \parallel on there?
- 19 A. I believe Schaumburg Police Department turned
- 20 | the video recording off. At that point the audio
- 21 recorder was still ongoing.
- 22 | Q. Okay. So when we lose the visual, the audio is
- 23 | going to come from that recorder on the table?
- 24 A. Correct.
- 25 | Q. And the video that we do see, that's coming

- 1 | from overhead? That's Schaumburg's?
- 2 A. Correct.
- Q. And during the course of this recording, are
- 4 there times where snippets are harder to hear?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. Okay. And I think you heard that the
- 7 | translator referred to them as UI, or
- 8 unintelligible, on the transcript?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. But you were present in the room, right?
- 11 | A. I was, yes.
- 12 | Q. During any of those times were you having any
- 13 | trouble understanding what Nirav Patel was saying
- 15 | A. No.
- 16 Q. And did he seem to be having any trouble
- 17 understanding what you were saying in Hindi?
- 18 | A. No.
- 19 | Q. All right. Finally, for presentation purposes
- 20 | today, you can see this on the screen, does this
- 21 | version of Exhibit 5 that we'll play in court have
- 22 | Ms. Kesar's translated transcript kind of closed
- 23 captioned at the bottom?
- 24 | A. It does.
- 25 MR. REED: So that's all the questions I

	KAUR - DIRECT/REED Vol. 4 - 760
1	have for you right now. We're going to go ahead
2	and play the recording from the start.
3	THE COURT: Okay. How long is this
4	recording?
5	MR. REED: It is quite lengthy, Judge.
6	It's about let's see here, about two hours, but
7	there is maybe 15 minutes that we'll cut out.
8	THE COURT: All right. We'll just go
9	until lunchtime.
10	MR. REED: All right. It's going to take
11	a second here.
12	(The video was played at this time.)
13	THE COURT: All right. It's quarter to
14	twelve. The jurors' lunches have arrived. Why
15	don't we we'll break here. Back it up two
16	minutes just to refresh the jurors' recollection
17	when they come back from lunch. And is 45 minutes
18	going to be enough, or does anybody need more time
19	than that?
20	Okay. We will recess for lunch. We'll
21	come back at 12:30.
22	(Lunch recess at 11:46 a.m. until
23	12:33 p.m.)
24	(Jury present.)
25	THE COURT: All right. When we recessed,

KAUR - CROSS/FRETER Vol. 4 - 761we were in the middle of watching the interview --1 recorded interview with Mr. Patel. We can start 2 where we left off, or you can back it up a minute 3 or two. 4 5 (The video was played at this time.) MR. REED: Judge, at this time, we would 6 7 like to skip ahead five minutes until the agents come back in the room. 8 (The video was played at this time.) 9 MR. REED: Judge, at this time, we'd like 10 to move forward to the other side of this phone 11 call. 12 (The video was played at this time.) 13 MR. REED: Judge, at this time, we'd pass 14 15 the witness. 16 THE COURT: Do you guys want a quick 17 break? Seems like they want to hear your 18 questions. MS. FRETER: I hope I'm worth the skipped 19 break. 20 CROSS-EXAMINATION 2.1 22 BY MS. FRETER: Q. Special Agent? 23 A. Yes, ma'am. 24 25 Okay, great. And so the other two gentlemen

- 1 that we saw on the tape, those are -- in addition
- 2 to Mr. Patel, those were also special agents; is
- 3 that right?
- 4 A. Correct.
- 5 \ Q. And what agency are they with?
- 6 A. They were with HSI as well.
- 7 | Q. HSI?
- 8 A. Correct.
- 9 | Q. And they were -- they're located, stationed in
- 10 Chicagoland, Schaumburg area?
- 11 A. Chicago, correct.
- 12 | Q. And to sort of back up a little bit, before --
- when you're doing this interview in Schaumburg,
- 14 | it's in June of 2023; is that right?
- 15 A. Correct.
- 16 | O. You have some information about the
- 17 | investigation and what's happened before you step
- 18 | into the room; is that right?
- 19 A. Correct.
- 20 | Q. And in this instance, you're sort of playing a
- 21 | dual role? You're both an agent, right?
- 22 A. Correct.
- 23 | Q. And you're also sort of acting, sort of, as an
- 24 | interpreter; is that right?
- 25 A. As an interpreter, yes.

- 1 Q. You're not a court-certified interpreter?
- 2 | A. No.
- 3 Q. And in the course of this -- and you can see
- 4 | from the transcript, you're not translating word
- 5 for word what Mr. Patel is saying back to the other
- 6 special agents?
- 7 A. I was conveying the meaning of what was being
- 8 said.
- 9 Q. And there's times where Mr. Patel may talk and
- 10 then you talked at him, and you guys go back and
- 11 | forth and have an exchange, a volley of
- 12 conversation, and then after maybe five or six
- 13 | times, then you might repeat, sort of, the general
- $14 \parallel$ gist of that back to the other agents in the
- 15 | room?
- 16 A. Correct.
- 17 | Q. Which is different than maybe, say, here in
- 18 court where they're doing every single word that's
- 19 getting talked about?
- 20 A. Correct.
- 21 | Q. And part of that is because you were also
- 22 | acting as an agent in addition -- you're not just
- 23 \parallel an interpreter in that room?
- 24 | A. Correct.
- 25 | Q. And so before you go to the room, did you guys

Vol. 4 - 764

decide -- who is the decision maker of you three special agents in this group?

- A. It was a joint decision by all three of us.
- Q. Okay. And so before you guys go into the room, you guys decided the two guys are going to go in, you're going to hang back and see how his English
- A. Correct.

is?

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

- Q. And then also, before you guys go into the room, you had information about the previous stops in this case?
- 12 A. Correct.
 - Q. And you had -- had you guys looked through the phone or seen reports from the phone before?
 - A. I reviewed the extractions.
 - Q. Okay. And so we've heard about two different extractions in this case: one from Madison County in Illinois and then one from the phone in Wisconsin. Which one had you looked at or both?

 A. There was a joint report by Detective Hoyland
 - that -- which he sent me. I reviewed that report which had both of the information from the extractions on that report.
 - Q. And Detective Hoyland, again, is from Madison County, Edwardsville, here?

- 1 A. Correct.
- 2 Q. And he had sent you a report, and you and the
- 3 other special agents -- and I -- one with an M and
- 4 Constantine (ph.)?
- 5 A. Considine (ph.) and Maslanka.
- 6 Q. Okay. The two other guys in the room had
- 7 looked at all of that information before you went
- 8 | to go talk to Mr. Patel?
- 9 A. Only I had reviewed the report. They had not.
- 10 | They were assisting me in doing the interview and
- 11 | the transport into federal custody.
- 12 | Q. Okay. So then I sort of misunderstood a little
- 13 bit. When you say assisting you in the transport,
- $14 \parallel$ is that because there was a federal warrant out for
- 15 Mr. Patel?
- 16 A. Correct. He was technically at Schaumburg
- 17 | Police Department. He needed to be remanded into
- 18 | the Marshals' custody.
- 19 \parallel Q. And is that relative to this case?
- 20 A. Correct.
- 21 | Q. And so this is in June. Mr. Patel got arrested
- 22 | in April in Madison County after he was at
- 23 Ms. Bryan's house; is that right?
- 24 A. Correct.
- 25 | Q. And we watched that tape yesterday?

- 1 A. Correct.
- 2 | Q. And then he was kept in the Madison County jail
- 3 for a period, he said on the tape, for 21 days; is
- 4 | that right?
- 5 A. Correct.
- Q. And you were aware of that before you did this
- 7 | interview?
- 8 A. Correct.
- 9 Q. And you knew that he was brought to court in
- 10 | Madison County on charges, he was arraigned, he was
- 11 given a public defender there, right?
- 12 A. Correct.
- 13 Q. And that case was pending still when you talked
- 14 | to him in June?
- 15 | A. Correct.
- 16 \ Q. In fact, that case is still pending?
- 17 A. Correct.
- 18 | Q. And so when you go to talk to Mr. Patel, first
- 19 you talk to him on the phone; is that right?
- 20 A. Correct.
- 21 | Q. Because you're -- I didn't understand exactly.
- 22 You said that the Schaumburg special agents needed
- 23 | help with *Miranda*? Why did you talk to him on the
- 24 phone first?
- 25 | A. So what happens is when a police department

Vol. 4 - 767

takes someone into custody on a federal arrest
warrant, they have to confirm with NCIC whether the
person that they have in custody --

- Q. I've got to get you to slow way down. Between the court reporter --
- A. Okay.

4

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

- Q. -- and the interpreters.
- 8 A. I apologize.
- 9 | Q. It's okay.
 - A. When a federal arrest warrant needs to be confirmed, the police department will call NCIC to confirm the suspect that they have in custody is a correct -- is an accurate suspect on the arrest warrant, which is why they called me, and they also called the Law Enforcement Support Center, the LESC, to confirm that the person they had in custody at that time, in fact, was the accurate subject on the federal arrest warrant.
 - Q. Okay. And so I want to just sort of make this clear. Mr. Patel gets arrested in Madison County. He gets a lawyer. Stays in Madison County. They release him on bond; is that right?
- 23 A. Correct.
- Q. He goes back up north to the Aurora,
 Chicagoland area, right?

- 1 A. Correct.
- 2 Q. And that's in Aprilish or early May. Between
- 3 then and June when we see this interview, a federal
- 4 | arrest warrant is issued for Mr. Patel?
- Q. And you -- special agents don't go pick him up.
- 7 The local Schaumberg Police Department does?
- 8 A. It just happened that they saw his vehicle. I
- 9 believe his license plate had an alert, and they
- 10 | noticed that there was a federal arrest warrant on
- 11 | that license plate, the maroon Nissan Altima, with
- 12 Delta, Quebec, 9947, I believe.
- 13 | Q. And just to stop you, that's the same car we've
- 14 | talked about brown or maroon in this case?
- 15 A. Correct.
- 16 Q. Okay. And so they see there is an arrest
- 17 | warrant, they look at NCIC, someone calls you to
- 18 | verify, and that's when you're on the phone to give
- 20 A. Correct.
- 21 | Q. And so how long between that time and then the
- 22 | time that you speak with him with the other special
- 23 | agents?
- 24 \parallel A. It was about three hours.
- 25 Q. Okay. So short period of time?

- 1 A. Correct.
- 2 | Q. Okay. But at that point you know he's got a
- 3 lawyer here in Madison County, right?
- 4 A. I don't recall that information.
- 5 Q. Well, you knew he had been to court?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 | Q. And you knew the case was pending?
- 8 | A. Yes.
- 9 Q. And so when you read a Miranda on the phone,
- 10 | it's messy enough that you guys decide you're going
- 11 | to wait until the special agents are there to talk
- 12 to him instead of Schaumburg Police Department?
- 13 A. No, ma'am. I don't believe it was messy. The
- 14 | reason I wanted to wait until we got there is
- 15 because I had the information about the case, and I
- 16 wanted to interview him.
- 17 | Q. Okay. Because you are investigating the
- 19 A. Correct.
- 20 Q. -- not the Schaumburg --
- 21 A. Correct.
- 22 Q. -- Police Department?
- 23 | A. Correct.
- 24 | Q. Okay. So then -- if we could -- oh, I didn't
- 25 \parallel do that.

Vol. 4 - 770

You give him *Miranda* warnings? You tell him that he has a right to an attorney, right?

A. Correct.

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

- Q. You don't say that you can call your lawyer that you got in Madison County?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. And you do not call that lawyer in Madison County?
- 9 A. No, I do not.
 - Q. And after you say, you know, you don't have to answer questions now -- at the end of that, you say, Do you understand; is that right -- or no, that's -- sorry. Let me get to you.

You tell him it's not necessary that you have to give an answer to every question without a lawyer, right?

- A. Correct.
- Q. And then you say to him, Just sign your name here; is that right?
 - A. Yes. Print your name and sign.
 - Q. You don't say, Do you understand that you're waiving your right to lawyer?
 - A. I believe I said, Do you understand what I've said to you? I'm sorry. I don't have the transcript on here.

KAUR - CROSS/FRETER Vol. 4 - 771MS. FRETER: Okay. And so if we can go to 1 my computer and -- just for the witness. 2 3 THE WITNESS: Yes, it says --BY MS. FRETER: 4 Q. Wait. Hang on. Would looking at a transcript 5 help refresh your recollection? 6 7 A. Yes. O. And so --8 THE COURT: Let's do this: We've been 9 going at it for a little while. I want to give the 10 court reporter a break. So I know you've got more 11 questions. This might be a good place to take a 12 break. Let's take ten minutes, and we'll come 13 back. 14 15 (Jury out at 1:46 p.m.) 16 THE COURT: Counsel, approach. Let the record reflect the jury is not in 17 18 the courtroom. The question of whether a search and seizure or a confession is proper or improper 19 is a question of law for the Judge, not for the 20 jury. 2.1 22 So where are we going with this? there -- where are we going with this? 23 MS. FRETER: Sure, Judge. So in the -- I 24 25 may be more familiar with the transcript,

2.1

Vol. 4 - 772

obviously, than the Court is because the Court just saw it today; but in the course of this conversation, there are things that come up between the agents and Mr. Patel where it is clear, if you have an understanding that he has been to Court and he has a pending case, that he's talking about the Madison County thing.

THE COURT: That's correct.

MS. FRETER: So when he says, I didn't open the box, and I didn't do this, and I learned that this was money laundering, and all of those other kind of things -- when you have an understanding that he's already been presented to a judicial officer who said, You are charged with a crime, his statements make sense in that context as opposed to, I have just arrested you off the street, and I'm having you make this statement, and you're confessing now to money laundering, and you're admitting that you know that you did all of these things wrong when you haven't been told by a court of law or a lawyer or a prosecutor in a prior proceeding, You're now charged with this offense.

I didn't move to suppress the statement because they talked to him without a lawyer.

There's a lot of reasons why I didn't do that,

2.1

Vol. 4 - 773

because there's not an argument that -- I didn't move to suppress it, and --

MS. FRETER: And I know and that's why -MS. FRETER: And I have good reasons why,
but it's important to set the scene of this
statement being made in June when he has already
been to court, and he already has a lawyer; and how
they're conducting -- and just the questions that
they ask him and the miscommunication that happens
based on where he's sitting, right; and this agent
has testified she didn't know he had a lawyer; and
I don't have any reason to doubt that; but if that
was her belief at the time, it certainly colors how
they asked questions of him to go to -- this agent
says to him --

THE COURT: I follow you.

MS. FRETER: Yeah.

MR. REED: Judge, we're way past, Did you have an understanding and how, that was several questions ago, and I don't know why we --

THE COURT: I think it's proper to put in context that when he's saying some of these things, he already appeared before a judge in Madison County who has conveyed to him information about his charges. He's been arraigned -- was he

Vol. 4 - 774

arraigned?

2.1

MS. FRETER: He was arraigned and had an interpreter, the whole deal. They cut him loose on bond and off he went, and I was done with this section and was going to go on to the next.

MR. WEINHOEFT: This issue of what
Mr. Patel knows, doesn't know, what he has been
exposed to or anything else in Madison County, this
witness doesn't know.

THE COURT: But it seems reasonable that the defense argues that the "money laundering" phrase wasn't something I came up with in conversations with Danny and KKT; it was something that a judge in Madison County told me or a lawyer representing me.

MR. WEINHOEFT: He said where that came from on the transcript. He does say on the transcript -- they asked him, How do you know it's money laundering; and he said he was told that, and he was either told that by Danny or by the police, and so we -- it's clear --

THE COURT: That's different.

MR. WEINHOEFT: Yeah.

THE COURT: That's different than, We charged you, you have a lawyer, and I don't know

KAUR - CROSS/FRETER Vol. 4 - 775who charged him in Madison County. 1 So you are moving on with this witness 2 then? 3 MS. FRETER: She answered she didn't know. 4 5 We were going to go on to the next topic. THE COURT: Let's take a break. I will --6 7 certainly, it's an appropriate area for inquiry, appropriate to argue the context of some of this 8 stuff. 9 MS. FRETER: Judge, not to get ahead of 10 ourselves, I did want to ask the Court to add a 11 little bit to its admonishment to the jury. The 12 Belleville News-Democrat is reporting that a 13 different gentleman who happens to have the name 14 "Patel" has been arrested with stealing gold from 15 16 somebody. 17 MR. WEINHOEFT: There was a press release out of Columbia PD that was on Facebook. Somebody 18 sent it to me just as an FYI, and it is a person, 19 last name Patel, scam involved picking up gold. 20 MS. FRETER: And so I don't want to make 2.1 22 it a big -- I mean, there's lots of cases with lots of publicity --23 THE COURT: A cousin to brother-in-law to 24 25 auntie.

	KAUR - CROSS/FRETER Vol. 4 - 776
1	MS. FRETER: And this is just very bad
2	timing. I'm sure the jury will respect the Court's
3	general admonishment, but if you could add a little
4	extra about
5	THE COURT: If you draft something up,
6	because I didn't see it. I don't read the
7	News-Democrat anymore.
8	MS. FRETER: Not to bring it up, just an
9	extra little bit about, you know, this includes TV
10	and newspaper and just a little extra emphasis on
11	that.
12	THE COURT: Okay. We'll do that.
13	MR. WEINHOEFT: What time are we back,
14	Your Honor?
15	THE COURT: We how long do you need?
16	Ten minutes?
17	MR. WEINHOEFT: Ten minutes.
18	THE COURT: We'll come back at two.
19	(Recess at 1:53 p.m. until 2:08 p.m.)
20	(Jury present.)
21	THE COURT: All right. We are still with
22	cross-examination of this witness.
23	Ma'am, you are still under oath.
24	BY MS. FRETER:
25	Q. Okay. We were at the point, you were looking,

Vol. 4 - 777

1 | just for the witness -- you were refreshing your

2 recollection with the transcript of the

- 3 | interview?
- 4 A. Correct.
- 5 Q. Okay. And so does it refresh your recollection
- 6 that you said, Just print your name, and he said --
- 7 and you had him sign it; is that right?
- 8 A. Yes. I asked him if he understood what I
- 9 said.
- 10 | Q. After you already had him sign it?
- 11 A. I don't know if it was simultaneously or after.
- 12 I don't remember.
- 13 \ Q. And so the tape would be really the best
- 14 representation of that, right?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 | Q. And if it says on the transcript you said, Just
- 17 print your name here, and then you have some other
- 18 conversation with him, that's reflected in the
- 19 | transcript also where you say sign, Did you
- 20 understand what I explained? Do you remember
- 21 | saying that to him?
- 22 | A. I do remember saying it. I just don't know if
- 23 | it was at the time he was signing it or if it was a
- 24 couple seconds after or before.
- 25 | THE COURT: Pardon me, sir, in the back of

Vol. 4 - 778

1 the courtroom, you can sit in here if you wish, but

2 you can't sit in here and look at your cell phone,

all right? If you want to look at your cell phone,

you can look at it outside.

Please proceed.

BY MS. FRETER:

- Q. And then we've just seen the interview. It
- goes on for a long time. Two hours, right?
- 9 A. It does.

4

5

6

7

- 10 | Q. And is it fair to say -- would you categorize
- 11 | it that Mr. Patel was not easy to talk to?
- 12 A. No, I would definitely say he was not easy to
- 13 \parallel talk to.
- 14 \| Q. He was frustrating to you?
- 15 | A. Yes.
- 16 \parallel Q. He was frustrating to the other agents?
- 17 | A. Yes.
- 18 Q. The conversation would go -- everybody was sort
- 19 of talking all over, on top of each other for a
- 20 | while; is that right?
- 21 A. Yes, I would say so. There were a couple times
- 22 where I was translating and Mr. Patel was talking
- 23 | over me and it was just -- yes.
- 24 | Q. This was not a situation -- even when you're
- 25 | acting solely in the role of an interpreter where

Vol. 4 - 779

he would talk, you would interpret, maybe the other agents would speak, and then it would proceed in an orderly fashion? It didn't go like this?

A. Correct.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

22

23

24

25

Q. It was just sort of a -- I don't know. It was a difficult conversation, I guess, is how I categorize it.

So it's hard -- in the context of this interview, if we just take the part about the family relationships and who is related to who and what sister-in-law or cousin, that was very confusing to both you and the other agents?

- A. Yes.
- Q. And at that point in the interview, Mr. Patel is giving you information about the names of these people, right?
- 17 | A. Yes.
- 18 | Q. And where they live?
- 19 A. Yes.
- Q. But the -- it was difficult to figure out who is related to who and how; is that right?
 - A. I think it came across that way when I was trying to translate back to the agents, but I understood what he was saying and who was referring to and what relationship he was referring to.

Vol. 4 - 780

Q. Okay. And is this -- and I don't know if it's

a Mr. Patel thing or if it's a language thing or if

it's a cultural thing. Do people sometimes refer

to other people as their cousins or their relations

or their sisters even if they're not blood

relation?

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

- A. Yes, they do, which is why I reminded Mr. Patel in the beginning of the interview that even though him and I understand due to a cultural similarity, the agents might not understand that, so if he could clarify the actual relationship, whether he was related to them by marriage or blood or if they were just strictly friends.
- Q. And this -- whether it's just a cultural thing or a colloquialism, we see that happen in terms of when you talked about your dad and the "mama sitting" as a reference to a police officer, right?

 A. Yes.
 - Q. So no one is actually saying it's your mother, right?
- A. Correct.
- 22 \parallel Q. Or I think they had it translated yesterday --
- 23 A. Maternal uncle.
- 24 | Q. Maternal uncle, right?
- 25 A. Yes.

Vol. 4 - 781

- Q. It's -- it's even more so this -- they're my
- 2 cousin, they're my sister. It's not just slang.
- 3 It's just how you refer to familiar people. Is
- 4 that fair to say?
- 5 A. In the Hindi language, we have specific names
- 6 for specific relationships. We don't say aunts and
- 7 uncles. We have specific names for them if that's
- 8 what you mean.
- 9 Q. I'm not sure. Have you ever heard somebody use
- 10 | the term "play cousin"?
- 11 A. No, I'm not familiar with that.
- 12 | Q. Okay. While we're talking about Hindi, which
- 13 region of India did you grow up in?
- 14 A. In Punjab.
- 15 Q. And do you speak Punjabi?
- 16 ∥ A. I do.
- 17 | Q. And that's similar to the interpreter that we
- 18 heard from today?
- 19 A. Correct.
- 20 | Q. And did you go back through her transcript to
- 21 make sure that it was accurate as to the Hindi?
- 22 A. Yes, I did.
- 23 \parallel Q. And there are -- did you go back through and
- 24 make sure that the transcript was accurate as to
- 25 what was actually said?

KAUR - CROSS/FRETER Vol. 4 - 782 A. Correct. Q. But there are differences between the transcript and what was said; is that right? MS. FRETER: So by way of example, if we can show my screen. BY MS. FRETER: Q. This is Government's Exhibit 6, which is the transcript. Just on this page, this is where you guys are asking Mr. Patel what his birthday is,

- 11 A. It says that on the translation; however, I was not in the room at this time. 12
- Q. Okay. But you've looked back through this 13 transcript? 14
- 15 A. Yes.

right?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

- 16 Q. And it says 13th of May, right?
- A. Yes. 17
- 18 Q. And he says the 30th of May?
- 19 A. Yes.
- Q. Because that's his birthday. 20
- 2.1 That's his birthday, yes.
- 22 Q. Right. And so whether it's that the translator 23 couldn't hear right because 13th sounds like 30th, 24 right --
- 25 A. Yes.

KAUR - CROSS/FRETER Vol. 4 - 783Q. -- or maybe she translated it wrong? We don't know the answer to that, right? A. Yes. Q. But that's one discrepancy between the transcript and what was actually said? A. Yes. Q. And then when you were just following along, maybe -- I don't know how close you paid attention, but did you notice other ones like that just now where maybe you would say something and then it didn't get transcribed exactly the same way that you had said it? A. I was listening more to the audio than the actual English translations. Q. Okay. Fair enough. MS. FRETER: And then we can take this down. BY MS. FRETER: Q. In dealing with -- you speak Punjabi and Hindi; is that right? A. Correct. Q. But you don't read the script for Hindi; is that right?

24 A. No, ma'am.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

25

Q. You can read the, I guess I would say, the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

A. Yes.

KAUR - CROSS/FRETER Vol. 4 - 784printed? I quess, in English, there is printing and there's cursive, right? A. Yes. Q. And is what I call script, is that sort of like the cursive version of Hindi, or is it totally different? A. It's a completely different way of writing Hindi. Q. Okay. So it's not --A. It's not -- it's not cursive at all. It's just different characters. MS. FRETER: Okay. So if we can -- sorry, Jackie -- I'll do better -- if can show my computer again, and this is Government's Exhibit 51. BY MS. FRETER: Q. We saw this earlier. These are the Google Translate texts that the officers in Wisconsin used with Mr. Patel when they were on the scene; is that right? A. Correct. Q. And the Government asked you on this one, What does it say? Do you remember that?

Q. And asking you what you said in Hindi, you

said, I don't read this --

KAUR - CROSS/FRETER Vol. 4 - 785I can't read those characters essentially. 1 2 Q. But down here -- here is an example. This is on page 2. It has both the script and it has --3 how would you describe this? 4 5 THE COURT: Phonetic. THE WITNESS: Phonetics. 6 7 BY MS. FRETER: Q. Phonetic spelling? 8 A. Yes, ma'am. 9 Q. Do people write in Hindi in this phonetic 10 11 spelling? A. Yes. 12 Q. Yes, okay. And so people write both ways. 13 A. Yes. 14 15 Q. You can read one way but not the other? 16 A. Yes. Q. And you don't speak Gujarati at all? 17 18 A. No, ma'am. O. Or read it? 19 A. No, ma'am. 20 Q. Did you talk to Mr. Patel about his education 2.1 22 level? A. No, ma'am. 23 Q. In these texts -- sorry. 24 25 When you're talking to Mr. Patel, you ask

Vol. 4 - 786

- 1 him how many times he's been arrested; is that
- 2 | right?

- 3 | A. Yes.
 - Q. And he says, One time. Do you remember that?
- 5 A. Yes.
- Q. And you say, You're lying. I know you were
- 7 | arrested in Wisconsin?
- 8 | A. Yes.
- 9 Q. But you know -- and let me ask it this way:
- 10 Did you know then that that wasn't accurate?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 | Q. You knew he wasn't arrested?
- 13 A. When I said, Have you been arrested, what I
- meant was how many times have handcuffs physically
- been placed on you, and that was two times.
- 16 Q. But you used the word, "How many times have you
- 17 been arrested," right?
- 19 Q. When you're talking -- and he said, One time,
- 20 and you said, I don't believe you. You're lying.
- 21 | I know about Wisconsin?
- 22 A. Correct.
- 23 \parallel Q. Did you know at that time that the officer in
- 24 | Wisconsin on Google Translate had told him you are
- 25 | 100 percent not under arrest?

Vol. 4 - 787

- 1 A. No, I had not seen that.
- 2 Q. And so had you known that the officer in
- 3 Wisconsin had told him, You are 100 percent not
- 4 under arrest, you maybe wouldn't have said, You're
- 5 | lying; is that right?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. Or alternatively, you maybe would have
- 8 clarified what you are talking about is how many
- 9 times have you been in handcuffs versus how many
- 10 | times have you been arrested?
- 11 A. Correct.
- 12 | Q. Because an arrest for you as a special agent
- 13 has a specific legal meaning to it; is that
- 15 A. Correct, correct.
- 16 | Q. Laypeople feel differently, maybe, about what
- 17 | an arrest is?
- 18 A. Correct.
- 19 | Q. And so when you're talking to Mr. Patel, what
- 20 you mean to say is how many times have you been in
- 21 handcuffs, but you say arrest?
- 22 A. Correct.
- 23 \parallel Q. So this is at the beginning of the interview
- 24 | with him; is that right?
- 25 A. Yes.

Vol. 4 - 788

- Q. And so you've started off this interview with
- 2 you feel -- and it's a difficult interview anyway.
- 3 He is not even telling you the truth about how many
- 4 | times he's been arrested; is that right?
- 5 A. Correct.
- Q. And that's going to color the whole rest of the
- 7 | way that you deal with him in this interview; is
- 8 | that right?
- 9 A. Not necessarily.
- 10 | Q. Okay. It's a provable thing? It's a fact
- 11 about how many times you've been arrested or not,
- 12 | right?
- 13 A. I think it depends on the context. Like we
- 14 ∥ just --
- 15 | Q. Well, an arrest means a specific thing,
- 16 | right?
- 17 A. Right, but to Mr. Patel, it didn't.
- 18 | Q. But to you, as a law enforcement professional,
- 19 | "arrest" is a very specific thing that -- it's just
- 20 a very specific legal thing to you, right?
- 21 A. Correct.
- 22 | Q. And that to you is provable, right?
- 23 | A. Yes.
- 24 | Q. You run it through a database and people's --
- 25 | how many times people's names come up, right?

Vol. 4 - 789

1 | A. Yes.

- Q. And so in the very beginning, in your mind, it
- 3 is a provable fact that he's been arrested in
- 4 | Madison County and he's been arrested in Wisconsin
- 5 and he's a liar, right?
- 6 A. I don't think I would have called him a liar.
- 7 \ Q. You did in the interview though. You said,
- 8 You're lying to me. I know you were arrested in
- 9 Wisconsin?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 | Q. And you tell him that throughout the whole rest
- 12 | of the interview to stop lying to me, you're lying
- 13 | to me. That continues on?
- 14 | A. Correct, because during those times -- in those
- 15 | specific times, I had reviewed the extractions from
- 16 | Edwardsville, and I had known how many times he had
- 17 been stopped in Madison County and then in Franklin
- 18 and then in Merrill, Wisconsin.
- 19 \parallel Q. But in the context of this interview -- and,
- 20 again, the tape speaks for itself, right?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 | Q. But how -- the lens through which you view that
- 23 | interview depends, in part, on context; and so
- 24 | if -- just like when you're talking, you're using
- 25 the word "arrest," but you're thinking about

Vol. 4 - 790

handcuffs, right? That comes up in other -- that
miscommunication or disconnect comes up other
times. So, for example, in the beginning of the
interview, you guys are talking to him about the
box, right? Did you open the box? You had the

box. Do you remember that part?

A. Yes.

2.1

Q. And in the course of this interview, you guys, sort of, use, like, boxes or what's going on -- it seems like, as an English speaker, sort of interchangeably that you are talking about all of this stuff together, right? Whether it's boxes in Wisconsin or boxes in Indiana. It's your perception that he is going and getting all these boxes from different places, right?

- A. I don't believe it was my perception. I knew that he was getting the boxes from these different places.
- Q. But in the beginning of the interview, it could be read that's just talking about the Madison County box, right, where he says, I didn't open it. I didn't open it; is that right?
- A. I would have to take a look at the transcript, ma'am.
- Q. All right. Okay. I'll go to this part first.

KAUR - CROSS/FRETER Vol. 4 - 791 Do you remember -- and this is going to be on page 1 21 in the transcript. 2 Do you remember talking to him about money 3 laundering? Do you remember that? 4 5 A. I apologize. It hasn't shown on my screen 6 yet. 7 COURTROOM DEPUTY: Do you want me to show it? 8 MS. FRETER: No, not net. I was just sort 9 of letting Government know where I was at. 10 BY MS. FRETER: 11 Q. Do you remember talking to him about money 12 laundering? 13 A. I do. 14 15 Q. Okay. And so when he -- he's bringing up this 16 term that, like, he knows it's money laundering, and you guys are asking him, How do you know that 17 18 it's money laundering? Do you remember that? A. Yes, I do. 19 Q. And he says, I just learned that from Madison 20 2.1 County. Do you remember that? 22 A. Yes, he does state that. Q. At the time when you're talking to him, does 23 that make sense to you, that he's just learning 24 25 this from Madison County?

Vol. 4 - 792

- 1 A. Could you repeat the question?
- Q. Sure. Let me rephrase it. When he's talking
- 3 about Madison County, that involves -- from the
- 4 | events, that involves both his arrest and all this
- 5 court stuff, right?
 - A. Yes.

- 7 Q. Okay. And so do you know about the court stuff
- 9 A. I know that he was released on bond from
- 10 | Madison County, yes.
- 11 | Q. Because money laundering is like a specific --
- 12 | again, it's a specific legal term, right?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 | Q. Does it -- you guys sort of go after him about
- 15 | that; like, How do you know it's money laundering;
- 16 and you kind of drill down into that -- you want
- 17 | him to talk to you about that term; is that
- 18 ∥ right?
- 19 A. Yes, because we know from experience that these
- 20 sorts of scams end up associated with some sort of
- 21 money laundering, so that's why we asked him about
- $22 \parallel$ that and why did he choose that specific term.
- 23 | Q. Right?
- 24 A. Because it's not something that we brought
- 25 | up.

Vol. 4 - 793

1 Q. Okay. As you sit here now, it's logical to

2 infer, though, that he could have brought that up

3 because he's been to court in Madison County,

right?

4

- 5 | A. Yes.
- 6 Q. Okay. But you didn't really have that in your
- 7 | mind at the time you were talking to him; is that
- 8 | right?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 | Q. And so when you guys hear -- and I don't want
- 11 | to say "you guys" because I don't want to speak for
- 12 | the other agents, but you guys sort of acted as a
- 13 | team? Fair to say, right?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 | Q. And you're all trained sort of the same way?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 \| 0. You think kind of the same.

18 When you hear "money laundering," to you

19 | that's a signal -- like that's an official sort of

 $20 \parallel$ legal criminal term. Why is this guy who is saying

21 he doesn't know nothing about nothing talking about

- 22 money laundering, right?
- 23 A. Correct.
- 24 | Q. And it's an indication to you that you feel
- 25 | like he knows more than what he's saying?

Vol. 4 - 794

- 1 A. Correct.
- 2 Q. But you don't have in your mind that he's also
- 3 been through a court process?
- 4 A. Correct.
- 5 Q. Had you known that at the time more fully, it
- 6 maybe would have influenced how you talked to
- 7 | him?
- 8 A. For that specific topic, yes.
- 9 Q. And another example of how things get kind of
- 10 | twisted a little bit, either because Mr. Patel is
- 11 | talking over you or language, whatever the context
- 12 of the interview is, you guys are trying to figure
- 13 | out who else is involved, right?
- 14 | A. Correct.
- Q. And you're trying to get names, descriptions,
- 16 whatever information you can get, right?
- 17 | A. Yes, ma'am.
- 18 Q. And at some point he mentioned there is this
- 19 guy that had a white van. Do you remember that?
- 20 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 21 | Q. But it morphs into white men. Do you remember
- 22 | that?
- 23 | A. Yes, ma'am.
- 24 | Q. And that you say white van but the other agent
- 25 | says white man, and then you guys get into this

Vol. 4 - 795

whole, long conversation about white men, right?

A. If I understand correctly what you're referring to, Mr. Patel at that time was speaking about the white male that he was dropping the packages off to. Mr. Patel stated that the white male arrived

in a white van.

2.1

MS. FRETER: Well, okay, so if we could show the witness and the jury, I guess, this is back to the transcript, Government's 6, and this will be on 28.

BY MS. FRETER:

Q. And I don't understand Hindi, so I can only go from what the transcript says, but it says on the transcript that Mr. Patel says, There was this one guy. He came wearing a cap and had a white-colored van. And then the agent says, He had a white van? Mr. Patel says, Yes. And then the other agent says, White man?

Is that right?

A. Correct. I just didn't translate back to him what Mr. Patel had said because I was still speaking with Mr. Patel about further questions.

Q. Right. And so before this portion, though, there's no description of white guys. It's just white van, right?

Vol. 4 - 796

1 | A. Yes.

2

5

Q. Okay. So it's in this little vignette that

3 the -- now we're not talking about vans anymore?

4 Now we're talking about guys, right?

- A. Which is why I clarified. The next question
- 6 is: What was the man like? Was he white or was he
- 8 Q. And we're done with the van; is that right?
- 9 | A. Yes.
- 10 | Q. Okay. I'm not going to go through each one,
- 11 but there are other instances of, sort of, this
- 12 | type of thing just due to the complicated nature of
- 13 | the interview? Is that fair to say?
- 14 | A. Correct. To be quite frank, ma'am, I didn't
- 15 have to translate what Mr. Patel was saying to the
- 16 | agents. I was only doing that for their comfort
- 17 because I was the case agent. I could have had a
- 18 conversation with Mr. Patel, and they could have
- 19 | just sat in the interview room. They didn't have
- $20 \parallel$ to know what I was speaking to Mr. Patel about.
- 21 | Q. Right. And that's because you're acting as
- 22 both an agent and, sort of, an informal
- 23 | translator?
- 24 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 25 | Q. But you don't suggest -- in looking at this

Vol. 4 - 797

- 1 | vignette of the transcript, you don't suggest or
- 2 | insert white guy or white man into this
- 3 conversation, right? You don't do that?
- 4 A. No, I asked Mr. Patel a clarifying question of
- 5 who was the man.
- Q. Well, it's the other agent who brings up man,
- 7 | right?
- 8 A. I believe Special Agent Maslanka and I were
- 9 speaking at the same time, if I recall correctly,
- 10 and even though he was speaking, I was already
- 11 asking Mr. Patel the clarifying, such as, What was
- 12 | the man? Was he white or was he Indian?
- 13 | Q. Which is a different question than, What did
- 14 | the guy look like?
- 15 A. Correct.
- 16 \parallel Q. Or what race is the guy?
- 17 | A. Sure.
- 18 Q. This -- there's additional confusion when you
- 19 guys are talking about the phone and who's on the
- 20 phone and Danny and "Pickup." Do you remember
- 21 | that?
- 22 A. Correct.
- 23 | Q. And at the time when you're talking to
- 24 Mr. Patel, do you know that he has saved in his
- 25 phone a contact called "Pickup"?

Vol. 4 - 798

- 1 | A. Yes.
- 2 | Q. Okay.
- 3 A. Not in the specific phone, but I had reviewed
- 4 | the extractions before and he had saved the contact
- 5 as "Pickup" in his phone.
- 6 Q. But, I mean, there's a lot of extraction. I
- 7 assume it was a big report, right?
- 8 | A. Yes.
- 9 Q. And so as you're talking in this conversation,
- 10 do you -- I mean, you don't remember every contact
- in the phone?
- 12 A. Correct.
- 13 Q. Do you remember that there's a contact name
- 14 | called "Pickup" at this point?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. Okay. And so when Mr. Patel is talking about
- 17 | "Pickup," are you -- is it clear in the discussion
- 18 | whether he's talking about a person named "Pickup"
- 19 or he's talking about a pickup, an event?
- 20 A. The person named "Pickup."
- 21 | Q. In your mind?
- 22 A. Correct.
- 23 | Q. Okay. I want to ask you about -- you guys go
- 24 | through with him -- when you're talking about him
- 25 | taking money out of the packages, he says that he

Vol. 4 - 799

- 1 does it one time; is that right?
 - A. Correct.
- 3 | Q. And you guys say, We don't believe you?
- 4 A. I believe we had told him that we don't believe
- 5 him before he stated that he had taken it only one
- 6 time.

- 7 Q. You guys tell him throughout this interview, We
- 8 don't believe you?
- 9 A. Yes, because he was being evasive.
- 10 Q. Well, and you also think that he's lying,
- 11 | right?
- 12 A. Correct.
- 13 Q. You think he's lying about getting arrested in
- 14 | Wisconsin?
- 15 | A. Correct.
- 16 | Q. You think he's lying about all kinds of things,
- 17 | right?
- 19 | Q. Which he -- but you tell him, You're lying
- 20 about being arrested in Wisconsin. He says, No.
- 21 You say, Yes. And then he quits on it, right?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 | Q. I mean, there's no way for him sitting there to
- 24 prove to you that this guy gave him a text that
- 25 | said you're 100 percent not under arrest, is there?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

KAUR - REDIRECT/REED Vol. 4 - 800I mean, he doesn't have a picture of that with him. How, in the room, can he prove to you that he's right and you're wrong? A. I don't understand the question. Q. He is absolutely right that he was not arrested in Wisconsin? A. Sure. Q. There's no way for him sitting in that room to prove that to you? That's correct. MS. FRETER: I don't have anything else. REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. REED: Q. Agent, let's start with that last question, arrested versus stopped. What did Nirav Patel say to clarify that he had been stopped and not arrested? A. If I recall correctly, I believe he said, The police stopped me in Merrill -- or Wisconsin. Q. Okay. And so you were able to talk with him and get on the same page. You both agreed he had been stopped in Wisconsin --A. Correct. Q. -- the police had talked to him in Wisconsin,

and then he had been let go in Wisconsin?

KAUR - REDIRECT/REED Vol. 4 - 801 A. Correct. Q. Okay. Earlier defense counsel asked you about the word "cousin." Do you recall that --A. Yes. Q. -- when he refers to somebody as a cousin? A. Yes. Q. Cousin comes up a few different times --A. Yes. Q. -- with a few different people? A. Yes. O. Okay. Danny, or Dinesh, Patel, did Nirav Patel say that Danny was, in fact, related to him? A. Earlier in the interview Mr. Patel stated that Dinesh Patel was a cousin, and then sometime later in the interview he claimed that he was like a friend, and then later in the interview for a third time he said Dinesh Patel was a distant cousin, so he does -- yes. Q. He uses various terms to indicate that he is related?

- A. Correct. 2.1
- 22 Q. Okay. Did you feel that Mr. Patel was being
- honest with you at the beginning of this 23
- interview? 24
- 25 A. No.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

KAUR - REDIRECT/REED

Vol. 4 - 802

- 1 Q. And there are lots of reasons for that?
- 2 | A. Yes.

- Q. What were some of those reasons?
- 4 A. His body language, his lack of eye contact and
- 5 the fact that when he was stopped in Edwardsville
- and in Merrill, he was being evasive to the police
- $7 \parallel$ officers then as well.
- 8 Q. Okay. And is it also true that at times later
- 9 in the interview he uses a phrase that's
- 10 interpreted as "to tell you the truth"?
- 11 A. Correct.
- 12 | Q. "To be honest"?
- 13 A. Correct.
- 14 \parallel Q. Indicating he is saying something different?
- 15 A. Correct.
- 16 | Q. Defense counsel, at times, asked you whether
- 17 | Nirav Patel was, at times, talking about Madison
- 18 County. Do you remember her asking about that?
- 19 A. Correct.
- 20 | Q. There were times when you were asking him about
- 21 | Madison County?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 | Q. And times when he was talking about Madison
- 24 County?
- 25 A. Yes.

KAUR - REDIRECT/REED

Vol. 4 - 803

- 1 Q. There were also times when you said, What about
- 2 | Wisconsin?
- 3 | A. Yes.

- 0. And what about Illinois -- or what about
- 5 Indiana?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. And so all three of those locations were
- 8 discussed at different points --
- 9 A. Correct.
- 10 | Q. -- in the course of the interview?
- 11 A. Correct.
- 12 | Q. And the dishonesty about number of pickups and
- 13 who he saw, did that extend to those portions of
- 14 | the transcript where he's talking about Indiana and
- 15 Wisconsin?
- 16 | A. Correct.
- 17 | Q. And that's part of why you asked him, You got
- 18 | to be honest? We need to help you here?
- 19 | A. Yes, sir.
- 20 | Q. Defense counsel asked you about this contact
- 21 called "Pickup." Do you recall that?
- 22 A. Yes, sir.
- 23 | Q. And during that time when you are talking about
- 24 | Madison County, does Mr. Patel say he doesn't know
- 25 who sent him --

KAUR - RECROSS/FRETER Vol. 4 - 804Yes. 1 Α. Q. -- to Madison County? 2 Okay. And when we looked at the phone 3 earlier -- you saw that, the phone for Madison 4 5 County? A. Yes. 6 7 Q. Okay. It's Danny and "Pickup" who send him to Madison County, right? 8 A. Correct. 9 Q. At some point during the course of that 10 conversation, does Nirav Patel acknowledge that he 11 does know the name of the person who is "Pickup"? 12 A. Correct. 13 MR. REED: Okay. No further questions. 14 15 **RECROSS-EXAMINATION** 16 BY MS. FRETER: Q. You just told the Government that because of 17 Mr. Patel's body language and lack of eye contact 18 that made you disbelieve him; is that right? 19 A. Those weren't the only reasons, ma'am. 20 O. But those were some of the reasons? 2.1 22 A. Some of them, yes. Q. And so when you entered the room, you didn't 23 introduce yourself to Mr. Patel as a special agent; 24 25 is that right?

Vol. 4 - 805

- A. No, because I had already done that on the phone, and he had already seen me prior to me even entering the room.
 - Q. In this capacity as -- it's possible for him to believe that you're just an interpreter; is that right?
 - A. No, I don't agree with that, because prior to me entering the room, I had actually taken the shackles off his feet when we were transporting him from the cell to the interview room, and I had done that. So that's -- at that time I had introduced myself. My name is Special Agent Kaur. I'm the one that spoke to you on the phone, and we are going to be taking you to the interview room. So prior to me even entering the room, I had already introduced myself.
- 17 | Q. That's not on the tape; is that correct?
 - A. No, that's not on the tape.
- Q. And what is on the tape is they say, Well,
 let's get that lady back here. Do you remember
 that?
- 22 A. Yes.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

Q. And Mr. Patel, throughout his conversation,
looks at those two male agents a lot, doesn't he?

25 | A. Yes.

Vol. 4 - 806

Q. And he makes eye contact with them, doesn't 1 he? 2 3 A. Yes. Q. He doesn't make eye contact with you? 4 5 I would say that's more of a cultural thing than the situation we were placed in. 6 7 That's your assumption, right? A. Yes. 8 Q. And he continuously says, I want to tell you, 9 sir, I want to explain to you, sir? It's all about 10 11 dealing with the two guys, right? A. Yes, ma'am. 12 Q. Not dealing with you? 13 A. Again, I would say that's more of a cultural 14 15 thing because males only speak to females in 16 position of authority, I guess. They speak to more 17 males generally. 18 Q. And so --MS. FRETER: I don't have anything else. 19 20 MR. REED: Nothing else, Judge. 2.1 THE COURT: Thank you. 22 THE WITNESS: Thank you. THE COURT: All right. Ladies and 23 24

gentlemen, you have heard reference to money laundering. You will be instructed in this case

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

2.3

24

25

Vol. 4 - 807

what the charges are, what the specific charges are, and you're not to speculate about what's going on in Madison County other than you know that at some point he was detained there. The other thing is -- let's see. Who do we got left? MR. WEINHOEFT: We'll need to excuse the jury and take a sidebar after this point. THE COURT: You will what? MR. WEINHOEFT: We'll need to have a sidebar outside of the presence of the jury next. That's really our next step. THE COURT: But you don't have any further witnesses to call? MR. WEINHOEFT: Correct. THE COURT: Okay. Let's talk about that Why don't you take a five-minute break. now. (Jury out at 2:42 p.m.) THE COURT: All right. Let the record reflect that the jury has left the room. MR. WEINHOEFT: We'll need to double-check to make sure all of our exhibits have been moved in. THE COURT: Okay.

MR. WEINHOEFT: And then we're out of

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

Vol. 4 - 808

witnesses, we'll rest off the record and take up any motions defense might have, and then rest on the record after the jury comes back.

THE COURT: All right. Well, one thing I do want to take up now is this interview was conducted at a time when defendant had charges pending against him in Madison County. He had felony charges pending against him in Madison County. When he was Mirandized, there was no reference to, You have a lawyer with respect to these charges in Madison County, and we're going to talk to you about those. There was no evidence that the lawyer was contacted to say, We've picked up your client and we're going to ask him questions. And there was no motion to suppress that interview, and what I want on the record is that you have made the -- not out of ineffective assistance of counsel that you are not moving to suppress, but you believe that there are strategic reasons why you decided not to raise those objections and allow the interview to be presented to the jury.

MS. FRETER: Would you like me to articulate the reasons or --

(Interruption by the court reporter.)

2.1

Vol. 4 - 809

THE COURT: You can give me a general thumbnail. You don't have to go into every detail, but I just want a record that shows -- I don't want you to surrender any advantages you may have, but I just want a record that you're aware of that and you have made a strategic decision not to assert -- or try to suppress it.

MS. FRETER: And so, Judge, I think there's multi-prongs to my thinking. If later on down the road some Monday morning quarterback says I'm wrong, then I'll eat it, but this was my --

THE COURT: I have a whole set of Monday morning quarterbacks.

MS. FRETER: I know, Judge. Sometimes I'm one of those.

This is my thinking, and I stand by it.

I -- there is a marked difference to start with

between lawyers talking to a represented party and

agents talking to a represented party. Agents, law

enforcement, are allowed to talk to a represented

party as long as it's on a different topic.

So had I moved to suppress this, I think there's a pretty good chance that the Court may have excluded the portions, perhaps, about the Madison County case but allowed the rest of it in.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

Vol. 4 - 810

Additionally, Mr. Patel -- and we've been to court many times here, both with the Government present and just us in terms of my relationship with him, his desire for a speedy trial. He has had many communications with the Court. He has been steadfast in both his court appearances and with me privately about his desire to testify. I have -- I mean, he could in theory flake out at the last minute, but I don't anticipate that happening. Strategically, to have the statement suppressed, to have Mr. Patel testify and then to have the Government put it on in rebuttal I believe would be devastating to his case. I think that it would appear as if he was a huge liar. I think the jury wouldn't have gotten to hear him at all. it would be horrible impeachment for him rather than it going the other way, so I did not want that to happen.

THE COURT: Perfectly acceptable.

MS. FRETER: Additionally, I believe that the statement is exculpatory. The Government views it one way in that they say when he says, I did something wrong or I knew this was a mistake or money laundering, the Government thinks that it's exculpatory (sic). I anticipate Mr. Patel is going

2.1

Vol. 4 - 811

to testify very consistently with that statement, and that, as we've said before, his defense has always been lack of knowledge or intent. He's never denied being at the places. The record's reflected that when officers have moved to identify him, he's raised his hand, so that it's my anticipation that his testimony is going to be consistent with the statement and that it's exculpatory. So even if it was contained in contravention of Miranda, it doesn't hurt me any. And so for all of those reasons, I didn't move to suppress it. Partially, also, because if he didn't testify, I'd want it in. It's his defense. I think he is very persuasive even on the tape, so that's why.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you.

MR. WEINHOEFT: Judge, since we're making a record on that topic, if I may, this is a subject that we, with the U.S. Attorney's Office, discussed in advance and considered as well; and the right to counsel, there's obviously two: one under the Fifth Amendment, one under the Sixth Amendment.

His state public defender would not be permitted to represent him in a federal case to begin with; but the Sixth Amendment right to

2.1

Vol. 4 - 812

counsel is offense specific; and so the Sixth Amendment right attaches at the course of the initiation of the federal charges. The Fifth Amendment is the custodial right, and so really it was the Fifth Amendment custodial issue that was paramount. He was clearly waiving that. He clearly wanted to speak. He had a lot to say and wanted to speak, and so we thought there was a valid waiver there and that there was no reason — there certainly — the attorney in Madison County certainly wouldn't have been able to represent him as a public defender in a federal case outside of that jurisdiction.

THE COURT: All right. Why don't we get -- we'll check on exhibits, make sure that everything that you need in has been moved in.

MR. REED: Can I check with you to make sure you have it?

THE COURT: Off the record.

(Off the record.)

THE COURT: All right. We're back on the record.

Off the record, Counsel, you have checked with the clerk. Are all the exhibits that you wish to be admitted, have they been admitted?

Vol. 4 - 813

MR. REED: Yes, Judge.

2.1

2.3

THE COURT: Does the Government have any more witnesses or evidence to Submit?

MR. REED: No, Judge. The Government rests at this time.

GOVERNMENT RESTS

THE COURT: All right. Counsel?

MS. FRETER: Your Honor, while we're here outside the presence of the jury, I would make a motion for judgment of acquittal at the close of the Government's case in that they failed to make a submissible case to the jury from which a reasonable juror could make a finding of guilt beyond a reasonable doubt in that they failed to adduce sufficient evidence to show that Mr. Patel engaged in a conspiracy to commit wire fraud and that he personally committed offenses of wire fraud and knowingly illegally entered into the United States.

MR. REED: Judge, the Government would respond that the evidence sufficiently showed that all elements to all offenses were met by the evidence that came in. As to conspiracy, the Government showed that Mr. Patel was actively involved in what was going on here, showed that the

2.1

Vol. 4 - 814

victims was defrauded, that there was a scheme to defraud, and that that was the goal of this conspiracy, and it showed that Mr. Patel knowingly joined that conspiracy in a variety of ways because he had been told by -- in Wisconsin that these victims didn't want them taking their money. He kept doing it anyway. Because he saw the victims -- that they were old ladies, he saw the money in the box, the amount of money involved, he saw the distance he was driving, and he knew that this scheme was based overseas. The evidence showed that he knew his coconspirators, some of them were related to him, and he took action to cover it up by deleting files.

As to Counts 2 through 4 -- well, I should go back to Count 1.

The evidence showed a number of mailings and wires, not only the packages with the gold with UPS coming into Indiana, but also the wire to Oklahoma to pay for the gold, the bank transaction at U.S. Bank and the bank transaction at Busey Bank, among many other bank transactions, that supports that element of the offense.

As to Counts 2 through 4, those three wires were certainly proven up. As to intent to

2.1

Vol. 4 - 815

defraud, again, the evidence showed that Mr. Patel continued doing this even after he was stopped in Wisconsin and it was demonstrated that this woman didn't want him taking money and for all the reasons I stated earlier as to knowing conspiracy that he has intent to defraud as well. The evidence showed that there was a scheme to defraud and that the purpose of that scheme to defraud was to deceive the victims.

And as to Count 5, Mr. Patel acknowledged that he had entered the country unlawfully.

THE COURT: All right. I think the motion is dismissed with respect to all counts.

All right. Mr. Patel, we discussed this a number of times. The Government has now closed its case. It's not going to offer any more evidence. It's not going to call any more witnesses. You heard your lawyer describe to me why she thought this video interview of you was helpful, and it's your decision whether or not you want to take the stand and explain to the jury your side of the story. It's a --

(Defendant started indiscernible speaking.)

THE COURT: No, no.

2.1

2.3

Vol. 4 - 816

It's a big decision to make and it is -it's one that you should discuss with your lawyer,
and I know you've talked to her about it. She is
experienced. She's watched the way the evidence
has rolled out in this case as have I; and from a
legal standpoint, she may have -- she's certainly
going to have an informed opinion of whether it's
strategically advantageous for you to testify or to
let the case go to the jury as it is.

The jury will be instructed by me -- we'll given them written instructions and I will look them in the eye and tell them it's the Government's duty to prove its allegation that somebody committed a crime; and as you sit here, they are to presume you innocent; and they can only find you guilty if all of them agree unanimously as to each element of any count against you.

Okay. So what's there, seven counts?

MR. REED: Five counts.

MR. WEINHOEFT: Five.

THE COURT: There are five counts. The jury could find you guilty of some but not all.

The jury could find you guilty of being here illegally but nothing else, or they can on all counts. They will also be told by me that not only

2.1

Vol. 4 - 817

do you not have to testify, you're not required to offer any evidence, none. The Government bears the burden of proof.

So do you want a little time to talk with your lawyer before you make the final decision?

I'll give you a little time to talk with your lawyer because I think -- I do think it's worth talking to her -- now that you've witnessed and she's witnessed how this has all played out, how the cross-examination has gone, okay, what was said and not said, that might impact her opinions as to the strategic value of you testifying on your own behalf or not testifying.

So we will recess for -- if he does testify, how long do you think it will take?

MS. FRETER: My portion should be fairly short, maybe 20 minutes. I expect the Government is going to be up there a long time.

THE COURT: I see a smile on a face, so I think you've read the room right. We'll just recess. Let me know when you're done, okay, and then we'll bring the jury back.

(Recess at 3:00 p.m. until 3:06 p.m.)

(Outside the presence of the jury.)

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Patel, you had

enough time to speak with your attorney? 1 THE DEFENDANT: 2 Yes, yes. THE COURT: And it's your decision that 3 you wish to testify? 4 5 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. THE COURT: Understanding that not only do 6 7 you get to tell your side of the story, but the lawyers for the Government get to cross-examine 8 you? Do you under -- you have to say yes. 9 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 10 11 THE COURT: All right. Counsel, that's your understanding, that your client wishes to 12 testify? 13 MS. FRETER: Yes, Your Honor. 14 15 THE COURT: All right. Bring the jury in. 16 (Jury present at 3:11 p.m.) THE COURT: For purposes of the record, I 17 think the Government should -- does the Government 18 have any more witnesses to call? 19 MR. REED: No, Judge. The Government 20 rests. 2.1 22 (Government rests in the presence of the 2.3 jury.) THE COURT: All right. The Government has 24 2.5 now presented all its witnesses and exhibits.

	PATEL - DIRECT/FRETER Vol. 4 - 819
4	
1	Call your first witness.
2	MS. FRETER: Thank you. Defense calls
3	Nirav Patel.
4	COURTROOM DEPUTY: Raise your right hand.
5	(Defendant sworn.)
6	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I was tell the truth.
7	I swear by my religious book.
8	COURTROOM DEPUTY: Please state your full
9	name for the Court and spell your last name.
10	THE DEFENDANT: My name is Nirav Patel,
11	P-a-t-e-l.
12	THE COURT: All right. Let's pull the
13	microphone closer to him.
14	MS. FRETER: How about for the
15	interpreter. He doesn't need it.
16	NIRAV PATEL, DEFENDANT,
17	DIRECT EXAMINATION
18	BY MS. FRETER:
19	Q. Please state your name.
20	A. Nirav Patel.
21	Q. And how old are you?
22	A. I'm 44 years old.
23	Q. What's your birthday?
24	A. May 30, 1980.
25	Q. And where were you born?

Vol. 4 - 820

- 1 A. In Ahmedabad, India.
 - Q. And what province of India is that?
- 3 A. Gujarat.
- 4 | Q. And what languages do you speak?
- Q. Okay. And so you speak some English; is that
- 7 | right?

2

- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. And you've been in the United States now for a
- 10 couple of years; is that right?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 | Q. And your language -- your English has gotten
- 13 better?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 | Q. So sometimes you can understand the questions
- 16 | that I'm asking and sometimes it's helpful to have
- 17 | the interpreter; is that right?
- 18 A. I need an interpreter 70 percent of the time.
- 19 | Q. Okay. And so it's better for Court, if you
- 20 don't answer my questions in English, even if you
- 21 understand them, that you wait for the interpreter,
- 22 | okay?
- 23 | A. Yes.
- 24 | Q. I know it's hard to wait, but it just works
- 25 better. We can agree to that, right?

- 1 | A. Yes.
- 2 Q. Okay. Mr. Patel, how far did you go to
- 3 school?
- 4 A. I studied up to the tenth grade.
- 5 | Q. And how -- and was that in India?
- 6 A. Yes.
- Q. And how old in India is somebody who's in the
- 8 tenth grade?
- 9 A. Seventeen or 18.
- 10 | Q. And what kinds of things did you study up until
- 11 the tenth grade?
- 12 A. Gujarati, Sanskrit, social studies, Hindi.
- 13 Q. Do you know how to read and write in Hindi?
- 14 A. I do understand spoken Hindi, but I do have
- 15 difficulty in reading it.
- 16 Q. What about Gujarati, can you read and write in
- 17 | Gujarati?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. And if you had to pick a language you were most
- 20 comfortable with between Gujarati and Hindi, what
- 21 | would be it?
- 22 A. Gujarati.
- 23 | Q. And if you had to pick a language between
- 24 || Gujarati and English you were most comfortable in,
- 25 which would it be?

Vol. 4 - 822

- 1 A. Gujarati.
- Q. When did you come into the United States from
- 3 | India?
- 4 A. I was in the United States on the 21st of
- 5 December, 2021.
- 6 Q. And how did you get to be in the United
- 7 States?
- 8 A. I was in Vancouver, there was floods there,
- 9 heavy rains, and I was working with someone, and I
- 10 was stuck there.
- 11 | Q. How did you physically move between Vancouver
- 12 and the United States?
- Okay. Mr. Patel, for the interpreter, if
- 14 you could just talk in a couple of sentences and
- 15 | let her interpret, and then we'll go on.
- 16 A. I can't explain it very well, but the thing is,
- 17 | this man put me on his back, and he was -- and then
- 18 \parallel a car came and then I got into a car.
- 19 | Q. Okay. So you traveled by car across the border
- 20 between Canada and the United States?
- 21 A. I did not know that I have come from Canada
- 22 | into the United States.
- 23 | Q. You later learned, when you got out of the car
- 24 at some point, you were in the U.S.; is that
- 25 II

right?

- 1 | A. Yes.
- Q. And that journey was by car?
- 3 | A. Yes.
- 4 | Q. How did you get from India to Canada?
- 5 A. I had the visa from before COVID, but I came to
- 6 Canada after COVID.
- 7 | Q. Okay. My question is, like, how did you move?
- 8 Did you take a plane or a boat? How did you get
- 9 | from India to Canada?
- 10 A. Flight.
- 11 Q. And when did you do that?
- 12 A. In 2021. I was in Canada for maybe a couple of
- 13 months.
- 14 | Q. And Government's Exhibit 78 is a Canadian visa
- 15 | application. Do you remember that?
- 16 | A. Yes.
- 17 | Q. And did that come off of your phone?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. Who filled that out?
- 20 A. That was this agent who did visa work, and he
- 21 was the one who filled it out.
- 22 Q. You didn't sign that one?
- 23 | A. No.
- 24 | Q. And is that the one that you turned in to
- 25 | Canada when you came in '21, or was it a different

Vol. 4 - 824

1 one?

- A. I don't really know because I have problems reading English.
- 4 Q. Okay. If it says on the visa application that
- you have an MBA from college, is that true?
- 6 A. No.
- 7 Q. And if it says on the application that you
- 8 worked in some sort of financial -- I think,
- 9 Pricewaterhouse, is that true?
- 10 | A. No.
- 11 Q. Is your wife a doctor?
- 12 | A. No.
- 13 Q. What kind of work did you do back in India?
- 14 A. I am a technician. I do TV repairs and air
- 15 conditioning, cooling systems and all household
- 16 appliances.
- 17 | Q. That's what you did in India?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 | Q. And what was your reason for going to Canada?
- 20 A. There was really no reason for me to go to
- 21 Canada. Actually, I was supposed to go to
- 22 Australia before COVID; but for some reason, the
- 23 agent made a mistake and put Canada.
- 24 | Q. Why were you leaving India?
- 25 A. Because of COVID, there was no work, and I was

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

22

23

24

25

PATEL - DIRECT/FRETER Vol. 4 - 825at a loss. Q. When you realized you're in Canada instead of Australia, why don't you go back to India? A. Because I was trapped here. I was trapped here in that house for 15 days. I'd lost all my flight tickets and everything. Q. Is that when you got sick? A. Yes, because in India the weather is warm but Canada was so cold. My body was literally frozen. Q. And then when you end up in the United States, why don't you -- instead of Australia or Canada, why don't you go back to India? A. So I did want to go back, but then the people around here told me that you shouldn't have any problems. You pay your income tax and all that and later on you'll be able to bring your family here.

Q. Okay. And so you knew when you were in the 19 20 United States you didn't go through passport control? 2.1

A. I had no idea what to do.

Q. Okay. But my question was: You knew you didn't go through passport control where they check your passport?

PATEL - DIRECT/FRETER Vol. 4 - 826

- 1 | A. No.
- 2 Q. No? You were aware no one from the U.S. looked
- 3 at your passport? Is that right or not right?
- 4 | A. Yes.
- Q. So you're in the United States, and then where
- 6 do you go in the U.S.?
- 7 A. From Seattle, I went to New Jersey.
- 8 Q. How did you get from Seattle to New Jersey?
- 9 A. Flight.
- 10 | Q. How did you get money for a flight from Seattle
- 11 to New Jersey?
- 12 A. That guy helped me.
- 13 \ Q. The guy who put you on his back?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. Why didn't you take the money and go back to
- 16 India?
- 17 | A. Because flights to India are very expensive.
- 18 Q. Did the guy who put you on his back and then
- 19 got you a ticket to Jersey, did he tell you to do
- 20 anything? Like why is he sending you to Jersey?
- 21 | A. He was speaking in English, and I really
- 22 couldn't understand everything that he was saying
- 23 | and neither could be understand what I was
- 24 saying.
- 25 | Q. What happens when you get to New Jersey?

2.1

Vol. 4 - 827

A. I came to New Jersey. I had a cousin there, a male cousin, and he said there's this liquor store, you could work there, and I was there for a week, but I'm not used to alcohol. I don't drink. I don't smoke, no tobacco, nothing, so I wasn't very comfortable in the alcohol store. I felt like puking, so I didn't work there.

- Q. Between the time that you come to the United States and the time you end up in Chicago, what kind of jobs do you do?
- A. Through a contact, I was told to go and work in a motel, and I was supposed to do repair work of the lights and stuff like that.
- Q. Okay. Do you end up in Atlanta at some point?
- A. I had to go to Atlanta, but only for a week, because in Tennessee I have another cousin, a female, who has -- who runs some business decorating weddings, wedding venues.
- Q. Okay. But you get to Atlanta, right? You get to Atlanta?

THE INTERPRETER: Yeah, Atlanta.

THE DEFENDANT: I was in Tennessee for five to six months.

BY MS. FRETER:

- 1 | Q. Do you make it to Atlanta?
- 2 A. Yes, I did.
- 3 Q. Okay. What happens -- who do you see that you
- 4 | know in Atlanta?
- 5 A. Yes, I have another female cousin in Atlanta.
- 6 Q. Okay. And do you work in Atlanta?
- 7 A. Not at that time.
- 8 Q. Do you have a cousin named Danny, or Dinesh, in
- 9 Atlanta?
- 10 | A. Yes.
- 11 | Q. Do you see -- is Danny a male or female?
- 12 | A. Male.
- 13 Q. And is this your blood cousin? Is this Dinesh,
- 14 | this Danny, like a real relative of yours, or is
- 15 | that -- do you just say cousin?
- 16 A. He's really not a blood relative, but my female
- 17 | cousin's husband's -- husband's oldest sister's
- 18 husband.
- 19 | Q. So he is an in-law family? He's part of your
- 20 extended family?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. Married into your family through your cousin's
- 23 | side?
- 24 A. Correct.
- 25 Q. So you call him "cousin"?

Vol. 4 - 829

1 | A. Yes.

2

3

4

MS. FRETER: Okay. So it seems like he said more than just yes. What else did he say?

- A. Yes, like a cousin.
- 5 BY MS. FRETER:
- 6 | Q. Okay.
- $7 \parallel A$. Or a friend.
- Q. Okay. How do you end up -- or why do you end up going from Atlanta to Chicago?
- 10 A. There were no jobs in Atlanta.
- Q. And so why pick Chicago as opposed to somewhere else?
- A. First of all, there are a lot of Indians there;

 and through a contact of mine -- actually, I play

 drums, so I was able to talk to someone into the

 music industry, and I also had a friend there, so I
- 17 went there.
- Q. Okay. And we saw in one of the Government's
 exhibits there is a picture of somebody with a drum
 set. Do you remember that?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. And was that you?
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 Q. When did you go to Chicago?
- 25 A. I don't exactly remember, but I was in

- Tennessee for like three to four months. Then I
 went to Chicago. I needed a license because in
- 3 this country you can't do without a car.
- Q. Okay. Mr. Patel, try really hard to answer the
- 5 question that I'm asking and not give too much
- 6 extra information so we don't get into something
- 7 | else.
- 8 | A. Okay.
- 9 Q. So my question was: When did you get to
- 10 Chicago?
- 11 A. I don't remember at the moment.
- 12 | Q. Do you remember if it was in 2022 or 2023?
- 13 A. Twenty-two.
- 14 | Q. And where did you live in Chicago?
- 15 A. Aurora.
- 16 Q. And is Aurora a suburb of Chicago? So meaning
- 17 | it's like not downtown?
- 18 A. I don't know about that.
- 19 Q. And what kind of work were you doing while you
- 20 were living in Aurora?
- 21 A. I got a job as a pizza delivery person.
- 22 Q. How is it that you come to be a driver to pick
- 23 up packages?
- 24 A. It so happened that I had bought a car for
- 25 \$2,500, but it wasn't very good, and then I got

2.1

Vol. 4 - 831

some music work, and then within a couple of months, there were problems with my car. The transmission went off, so I had to spend a lot of money on that, and I had to borrow all that money from a friend to get this fixed, and I was looking for work because I lost my job as a pizza delivery person because there were too many people working that job.

- Q. Okay. My question, Mr. Patel, was how is it that you end up -- like what happens to cause you to go be driving to pick up packages?
- A. That time I just thought that this is legal money. What problem could be there? I had no idea about anything else about this place.
- Q. Okay. Like who -- who calls you or talks to you? Like how does it happen that, like, somebody says, Go pick this up? Like how does that happen for the first time?
- A. Danny, or Dinesh, Patel called me once, and he asked how was I doing, do I have a job, et cetera.

 And I said, Not really. I don't. So he said, I do have a job. Would you like to do that? And I said, Yes.
- Q. About when was that?
- 25 A. I don't remember.

- 1 | Q. Do you know what year it was?
- 2 A. Twenty-two.
- 3 Q. Do you remember if it was warm or cold?
- 4 A. It was cold.
- Q. And where did Danny tell you to go? Where did
- 6 Danny tell you to go?
- 7 A. Initially Danny told me to go to Indiana.
- 8 Q. And what were you supposed to do in Indiana?
- 9 A. He said you just have to go there, to the
- 10 | address that I will give you, and someone will give
- 11 you a package. As a courier, you just got to
- 12 deliver that.
- 13 | Q. And did you go pick up a package in Indiana?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 | Q. And what did you do with the package the first
- 16 | time after you picked it up?
- 17 \parallel A. I just took that, and I delivered to the person
- 18 | I was told to.
- 19 \parallel Q. Who was that person?
- 20 A. I have no idea about that.
- 21 | Q. Where was the person at?
- 22 A. He was in Chicago.
- 23 | Q. Do you know where in Chicago?
- 24 A. I don't remember.
- 25 | Q. Well, was it near you in Aurora? Was it

Vol. 4 - 833

1 downtown?

2

3

6

7

8

9

10

11

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

- A. I really don't know about this. I can only tell if I had a map.
- Q. Okay. Was it like a house? A business? Like what kind of place was this person at?
 - A. No. It was a small shopping mall, and he just took it from there.
 - Q. How did you know who to give the package to?
 - A. So there was a dollar bill, and the number would be confirmed by Danny, and then I would just
- Q. Okay. I really don't understand what that means. Can you explain that some more?
- 14 A. I don't know myself.

hand it over.

- Q. Okay. My question was: How did you know -- of all the people, right, how did you know who to hand the package to?
 - A. So Dinesh Patel was coordinating all this. I would go to a certain place that he would direct me to, and then Dinesh Patel would tell the other person that this person has a brown car and this is the license plate, and then that person would come, and then we would talk to Dinesh Patel, and then that's how the package would be picked up.
 - Q. Okay. What does that have to do with the

Vol. 4 - 834

dollar bill?

- 2 A. I'm not very sure about the dollar bill thing
- 3 because I don't know much about it, but then they
- 4 would look at the dollar bill, and then they would
- 5 confirm.

1

- 6 Q. Who would look at the dollar bill?
- 7 A. Danny and the person who would be there. He
- 8 would tell me to take a picture of that and send it
- 9 | to him, and I would do that, and the person who
- 10 would come there would be the one to give that
- 11 dollar bill.
- 12 | Q. You would give the dollar bill to the person
- 13 you also gave the package to?
- 14 A. Yes, that person would.
- 15 | Q. I'm sorry. I didn't hear the last --
- 16 A. Yes, that person would.
- 17 | Q. Was it your dollar bill? Was it your dollar
- 18 | bill that you had that you took the picture of?
- 19 | You, Mr. Patel, was it your -- you had a \$1 bill,
- 20 | and then you took a picture? Was it your money?
- 21 A. No, not mine.
- 22 Q. Whose dollar bill was it?
- 23 A. The person who would come there would have the
- 24 dollar bill.
- 25 \parallel Q. Okay. The person who would come would have the

Vol. 4 - 835

- dollar bill. You would take a picture and then would you send that to Danny; is that right?
 - A. Correct.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

15

16

17

18

19

- Q. And after that happened, then you would give them the money?
 - A. Right.
- Q. Did you pick up in Indiana and Wisconsin and Illinois different packages?
 - INTERPRETER: Indiana?
- 10 BY MS. FRETER:
- 11 | Q. Indiana, Wisconsin, Illinois?
- 12 A. Yes.
- Q. And were you directed either by Danny or someone else about where to go?
 - A. Initially, it was Danny, and then there were all these friends of his, but I have no idea about these things because in my 44 years of life, I've never done all these things.
 - Q. Okay.
- A. I have no idea about this, but in India I used to work for a music company. We had to take huge payments and deliver it to agencies, and I've done that; but about this, I do not know.
- Q. Okay. Well, did you think it was strange that
 these elderly ladies were coming to your car to put

Vol. 4 - 836

1 packages in it?

- 2 A. Initially, as well as right now as we speak, I
- 3 have no idea if these ladies were giving me the
- 4 money or their husbands were or what because I came
- 5 to know about all these things only after this
- 6 happened.
- 7 Q. Okay. Do you remember a lady coming to give
- 8 you a package, and she used a wheel -- a walker to
- get to you? Do you remember that?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 | Q. And then she loaded the stuff from the walker
- 12 into your car? Do you remember that?
- 13 A. Yes, I saw her, and then I was told to have the
- 14 \parallel package -- put it in the back of the car. So I
- 15 | just gestured to the lady, and that's what she
- 16 did.
- 17 | Q. It didn't seem strange to you that an elderly
- 18 woman with a walker would come to your car and put
- 19 packages in the back? That didn't seem weird?
- 20 | A. I had no idea about this at all because who
- 21 knows. She may have some problems. She may have
- 22 been making some deliveries somewhere or whatever.
- 23 | I have no idea.
- 24 | Q. The time when she came with the walker, where
- 25 did you take those packages to?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

20

2.1

22

23

Vol. 4 - 837

A. I would take the package, and then I would be directed to go to certain place where I would meet that other person, and I would just deliver that.

- Q. And do you remember the lady when she came out with the walker, because we can remember that one, where did you take that package to?
- A. Everything had to be delivered the same day. Everything would take place the same day, so I don't really know much, but I think it was Chicago.
- Q. Why did you take pictures on your phone of the maps and the directions?
 - A. Because that person would constantly ask me where are you, how far have you reached, and I had no idea, so I just took a picture and texted it.
- Q. Why would you take pictures of the packages?
- 17 \parallel A. I did what I was told to do.
- Q. Okay. So somebody told you take a picture of the package and send it to them; is that right?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. When you're in Wisconsin and you're stopped by the police, didn't that cause you concern about your package pickup business?
- A. No, because the lady used to give me the package, and I had no idea about these things at

Vol. 4 - 838

all. I didn't even think about it. I thought -- I thought maybe there's some -- something concerning guns and all that or maybe there was some terrorists around and that's why they --

THE COURT: All right. We want to make sure that you give your translator the opportunity to convey your full answer. So if you give a long answer, it's more difficult for her to accurately convey. So say a few words, let her translate, and then you can continue with your story. Does that work for you?

THE WITNESS: Yes.

BY MS. FRETER:

- Q. And if I need more information, I'll ask more questions.
- 16 A. Okay.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

2.1

24

25

- Q. So you get stopped by the police about this package in Wisconsin. Doesn't that make you think maybe something's wrong with this situation, with package pickups?
- A. No.
- Q. What did you think when the police in Wisconsin let you go?
 - A. I went immediately to the mall and I called

 Dinesh, and I said, What's going on? And he says,

- 1 No, it must be something else. Don't worry.
- 2 | Q. And did you believe him?
- 3 A. Not really because at first I did think
- 4 something was unusual but not much because, after
- 5 | all, this lady was giving me the package, and it's
- 6 not like I was stealing anything. I was just
- 7 delivering the stuff.
- 8 Q. Okay. So you sort of thought it was wrong or
- 9 you were sort of worried, but you still went to
- 10 | Illinois later anyway?
- 11 | A. Actually, Dinesh gave me false information and
- 12 brainwashed me.
- 13 Q. How did he brainwash you?
- 14 \parallel A. He explained to me this is all legal. Nobody
- 15 | is going to catch you because the money is being
- 16 | taken out of the bank.
- 17 | Q. All right. And so when you say "the money is
- 18 being taken out of the bank," what does that
- 19 | mean?
- 20 A. That means it's honest money.
- 21 | Q. Oh, okay. Meaning that it wasn't, like, from
- 22 the black market?
- 23 | A. Right.
- 24 | Q. Not from, like, an illegal sale? That it's

- 1 | A. Yes.
- 2 Q. And so how did you get paid for picking up and
- 3 then distributing these packages?
- 4 A. I told Dinesh to pay me by Zelle.
- 5 | Q. Okay. And what is Zelle?
- 6 A. It's something to do with the bank. You get
- 7 direct transfer.
- 8 Q. Do you use -- do you go to a bank, or do you
- 9 use it on your phone?
- 10 A. Phone.
- 11 | Q. How much money would you get per package?
- 12 | A. \$400.
- 13 Q. Do you remember telling the police in Illinois
- 14 | it was 250?
- 15 A. Yes, there's a reason. Because I have to spend
- 16 | from -- anything from 150 to 180 on gas, so you
- 17 deduct that, and you're really left with around
- 18 250.
- 19 | Q. Okay. So 400 is your gross and 250 is your
- 20 net? Is that a fair statement?
- 21 | A. Yes, it would be around 250 or even around 200
- 22 sometimes.
- 23 | Q. Mr. Patel, why are you driving so far and so
- 24 many hours and only making \$250 a package?
- 25 | A. First of all, I didn't have a job; secondly, I

Vol. 4 - 841

- had to pay rent; and third, I like traveling. I also had a travel business in India.
 - Q. What does that mean, "a travel business"?
 - A. I like to drive and enjoy nature.
- 5 Q. When -- do you remember when you got arrested
- 6 | in Illinois?
- 7 | A. Yes.

3

4

- 8 Q. Why did you tell officers -- or what did you
- 9 mean when you kept saying "first time?" What did
- 10 you mean by that?
- 11 A. That I was arrested for the first time.
- 12 | Q. What is your opinion or belief about your
- 13 contact with the police in Wisconsin?
- 14 | A. Good.
- 15 | Q. Do you feel like you got arrested in
- 16 Wisconsin?
- 17 A. Yes, but I had no idea that they would keep me
- 18 | there in custody because I didn't know anything
- 19 about this.
- 20 | Q. Okay. Did you get kept in custody in
- 21 Wisconsin?
- 22 A. No.
- 23 | Q. So then I don't understand your answer. My
- 24 | question was: How did you feel or what did you
- 25 | view about your contact with the police in

PATEL - DIRECT/FRETER Vol. 4 - 842Wisconsin? 1 A. At that time I just thought that maybe some 2 investigation is going on, so they was just 3 checking me. 4 5 Q. You'll agree that after your police contact in Wisconsin, you did more pickups including in 6 Illinois? 7 A. No. I think it was one year, and then it was 8 this, just this. 9 Q. Your contact with police in Wisconsin was in 10 November of 2022 -- no. Sorry. Yes, November of 11 20 -- November of '20. November? Is that right? 12 THE INTERPRETER: November '20? 13 BY MS. FRETER: 14 15 Q. November in Wisconsin, was it '24? 16 THE COURT: No, '22. 17 MS. FRETER: Twenty-two. Sorry, Judge. THE WITNESS: Yes. 18 BY MS. FRETER: 19 Q. And you got arrested in Illinois after that? 20 A. Not in Illinois. They did not arrest me. 2.1 22 Q. Okay. Well, in Madison County, here in Edwardsville, they arrested you? 23 A. Yes. 24 25 Okay. When I asked you about Illinois, what

Vol. 4 - 843

- 1 did you think I meant?
 - A. I thought something else.
 - Q. I know. What did you think?
- 4 A. Oh, I thought Illinois means Chicago.
- 5 Q. Did you take money out of any of the boxes?
- 6 A. No.

2

3

- Q. Do you remember telling the police that you did take money out of the boxes?
- 9 \parallel A. It so happened that they were torturing me.
- 10 | They kept asking me questions, and at that time my
- 11 son was undergoing surgery, and my mother had a
- 12 | brain stroke and even -- this woman, she's
- 13 paralyzed, and I didn't have money for that, and
- 14 | even today my mother is so sick, but I'm unable to
- 15 send her for medical treatment.
- 16 Q. Okay. But the police -- when you talked to
- 17 | them in Illinois, they gave you food and juice?
- 18 A. Yes, they gave me juice.
- 19 Q. They didn't hit you or beat on you?
- 20 | A. No.
- 21 Q. They gave you a blanket?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. Okay. So when you say they're torturing you,
- 24 | what do -- you don't mean physical torture. What
- 25 do you mean?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

Vol. 4 - 844

A. Actually, they kept interrogating me. kept interrogating me, and they kept asking me questions, and I really had no idea what they were trying to get at. Q. And when you were getting questioned by them, how did all that make you feel? A. I felt like they were treating me like I was a criminal terrorist. Q. Are you a terrorist? A. No. Q. But you were in the U.S. illegally, right? A. Yes. So kind of that was -- that's a crime, right? A. Yes. Q. Were you worried about that? A. No, because I thought I stayed here honestly, and I've never done anything dishonest and that I would be paying my taxes and I have paid. MS. FRETER: Okay. I don't have anything further. THE COURT: Will you approach? (Sidebar proceedings on the record.) THE COURT: Do you -- it's 10 after. How long do you think you're going to take?

MR. REED: Given the pace with the

translator, probably a few hours.

2.1

THE COURT: A few hours?

MR. REED: Yes, Judge.

THE COURT: Then we'll come back tomorrow, and you're going to finish by 11:30, okay, and then we'll just bring them back Monday, the jury, if you think it's going to be hours.

MR. WEINHOEFT: If we start at 9 --

MR. REED: I think I will.

MR. WEINHOEFT: -- there is no way we're done by 11:30.

THE COURT: There can be too much of a good thing, guys.

MR. WEINHOEFT: I hear you.

MR. REED: Understood.

THE COURT: No, listen, it's your case.

(End of proceedings at sidebar.)

THE COURT: Mr. Patel, you have been on the stand for a while, and the Government has a number of questions they want to ask you. So I think the thing to do is we will recess for the day, and we'll start back first thing tomorrow because I think it's going to be a little while. All right. And people can get stressed out, you understand, when you're being questioned. All

right, and, again, because we have to translate this, it takes a little longer than usual. Okay with you?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

2.1

THE COURT: All right. I was hoping we can get finished today. We certainly aren't going to get wrapped up by 4:30, and so I think the thing to do is we'll recess the jury for today, and we'll start back tomorrow at 9 a.m. All right.

Now, again, don't talk about this case. I think we're going to get it wrapped up tomorrow. You may have to come back Monday for closing arguments, I don't know, but -- so don't look at the papers, don't do any independent research.

Mr. Patel's name, the surname Patel, as I said, is more common than Jones and Smith; and so if you do research on McGlynn in East St. Louis, you're pretty much going to hit me and my family; but if you research Patel in Atlanta, Chicago, all over, you're going to get all sorts of things, and that's one of the reasons we tell you just don't try to do anything like that.

So you can't talk amongst yourselves. The only thing you can tell your family is that we're back tomorrow; and when this is all over, I can

tell you all about it, but right now we'll find something else to discuss.

Okay. Do we have -- we're not expecting bad weather tomorrow, are we?

COURTROOM DEPUTY: Monday.

THE COURT: Great. What is Monday?

COURTROOM DEPUTY: They don't know yet.

It's too early.

2.1

THE COURT: Folks, if we have Vancouver floods on Monday, call in, and I'll have a Plan B. Other than that, we'll talk tomorrow.

(Jury out at 4:15 p.m.)

THE COURT: You guys stick around and we can knock out instructions, but let's take a five-minute recess.

(Recess at 4:15 p.m. until 4:25 p.m.)

THE COURT: Mr. Patel, the testimony and evidence is done for today. Right now the lawyers are just going to talk about what instructions will be given to the jury, and it's legal decisions for me to make, all right, so there's -- I don't see any need for you to stick around and to keep the -- I really don't. As I explained at the beginning of trial, the selection of the jury, the instructions, that sort of stuff, that's up to her. If there is

something that comes up this afternoon that I think 1 needs to be brought to your attention, we'll talk 2 about it first thing tomorrow morning when you get 3 back on the stand, okay? 4 5 All right. Have a nice evening. (Defendant exited the courtroom.) 6 (Off the record.) 7 THE COURT: Do we have -- what 8 instructions are we hung up on? 9 There is only one issue MR. WEINHOEFT: 10 11 from our perspective, and Kim sent an email this morning with a number of issues to take up, so --12 THE COURT: All right. I've been given 41 13 pages of instructions excluding the verdict form. 14 15 So let's start with Instruction No. 1, 16 members of the jury, 1.01, pattern instructions, any objection? 17 18 MS. FRETER: No objection. THE COURT: All right. Number 2, 1.02, 19 charges against the defendant are in a document 20 2.1 called the indictment, any objection? 22 MS. FRETER: No objection. THE COURT: All right. That will be 23 24 given. 25 Instruction No. 3, presumption of

innocence, 1.03, any objection? 1 MS. FRETER: No objection. 2 THE COURT: Okay. Pattern -- or Number 4 3 is Pattern Instruction 2.01. Any objection? 4 5 MS. FRETER: No objection. THE COURT: It will be given. 6 7 Jury Instruction No. 5, 2.02, give the evidence whatever weight you decide it deserves? 8 MS. FRETER: No objection. 9 THE COURT: All right. That will be 10 11 given. Instruction No. 6, Pattern Instruction 12 2.03, any objection? 13 MS. FRETER: No objection. 14 15 THE COURT: That will be given. 16 Number 7, Jury Instruction 2.04, number of witnesses, any objection? 17 18 MS. FRETER: No objection. THE COURT: Okay. That will be given. 19 now we are at Instruction No. 8, the defendant has 20 21 an absolute right not to testify -- all right, so 22 that's not going to be given because he's testifying. 23 MR. WEINHOEFT: That is withdrawn. 24 25 THE COURT: All right. So 7 is

withdrawn -- I mean, 8. Pardon me?

2.1

MR. WEINHOEFT: I'm sorry. I was just asking counsel if we -- there's a separate -- I know there is a separate pattern instruction for considering the -- oh, it's considering the credibility of the witnesses. We gave that in the alternate. We're not quite there yet.

THE COURT: All right. So Instruction No. 9, 3.01, any objection?

MS. FRETER: No objection.

THE COURT: Okay. That will be given.

Number 10, 3.02, proper for an attorney to interview a witness?

MS. FRETER: Your Honor, I requested that the Government withdraw this in that that has not come up in this case.

THE COURT: It's proper for an attorney to interview any witness in preparation for trial.

MR. WEINHOEFT: I generally like to give this instruction just on the off chance they pick up on something we didn't. I don't know that it matters much either way. I don't really have a strong preference.

MS. FRETER: I have a preference, which is why I'm asking that it be removed. There's been

2.1

Vol. 4 - 851

no -- sometimes you get into a thing, like, they talked to you before trial and you worked on your testimony and blah, blah, blah. That's what this instruction is about. That hasn't come up. I'm asking that it be --

THE COURT: I'm not going down that hill. Her objection is sustained, so we'll refuse the instruction.

I don't recall it coming up at all. The closest thing is the interview of the defendant where he doesn't have the attorney present, but that's -- if you don't want it, it's defendant's case.

All right. Jury Instruction 11, 3.03, you have heard the evidence that before trial a witness made statements that may be inconsistent, any objection?

MS. FRETER: Yes, Your Honor. That, again, this hasn't come up in the evidence.

There's been no impeachment of any of the witnesses, no talk about inconsistent statements.

The next instruction is about inconsistent statements of the defendant, so it would cover Mr. Patel, so I'm asking that it also -- I'm objecting or asking that it also be withdrawn.

THE COURT: I'm going to give it over objection. You did cross-examine -- you did cross-examine some witnesses about things that were said when we got into translations. There was some cross-examination about that. There may have been some inconsistency, so I'll go ahead and give it.

Jury Instruction 3.04, you've heard evidence before trial the defendant made statements, do you have an objection to that?

MS. FRETER: No.

2.1

THE COURT: Okay. That will be given.

Jury Instruction No. 13, 3.09, you've heard testimony that the defendant made statements to law enforcement, any objection?

MS. FRETER: No objection.

THE COURT: All right. That will be given.

Instruction No. 14 is 3.14 Pattern

Instruction, you have heard recorded conversations.

Any objection?

MS. FRETER: Yes, Your Honor. I think that the next instruction is the appropriate one that has to do with the transcripts between English and Hindi or English and Gujarati and that in this case we didn't have transcripts of like wire tapped

2.1

Vol. 4 - 853

calls or something that would be appropriate for this instruction.

THE COURT: Well, we have a witness by deposition. Is that handled in a different instruction?

MS. FRETER: I think so because they have the actual -- they have the actual --

THE COURT: All right. During the trial -- you're proposed -- is this 3.15? Is this your proposed instruction?

MS. FRETER: 3.15, no, that's the Government also. During the trial, Hindi and Gujarati language recordings were admitted into evidence. You were given English transcripts, et cetera.

Do you think these both should be given? What's your position, Government?

MR. WEINHOEFT: Well, I think certainly
3.15 should be given. You know, I don't have a
strong preference -- I don't think it matters that
much on Number 14. I'll defer to defense counsel.
Whichever she prefers is fine with me.

THE COURT: Well, there was some stuff from his cell phone, but there wasn't any conversation. There was some videos. I don't

recall hearing any -- all right. I'm going to 1 grant 3.15. And are you withdrawing 3.14 then? 2 3 MR. WEINHOEFT: And I apologize, Your Honor, since the defense doesn't want it, I know 4 5 that -- to me I see this as a tactical choice on their end on how they want to view that, so we will 6 7 withdraw it at their request. THE COURT: All right. Instruction No. 17 8 is 3.16, pattern instruction summaries, any 9 objection? 10 11 MS. FRETER: No objection. THE COURT: That will be given. 12 Now, this says, Joint Jury Instruction 13 3.18, so that will be given. 14 Joint Jury Instruction No. 19 is 3.19 --15 16 MS. FRETER: Judge, I think that this got marked as "joint." I don't believe there were 17 18 deceptive investigative techniques and so that -- I would object to this instruction. There are 19 cautionary notes from the Seventh Circuit that go 20 with this pattern instruction about --2.1 THE COURT: Well, it says Joint Jury 22 Instruction. I thought that meant you guys both 23 24 agreed on it.

MS. FRETER: I understand, Your Honor. I

25

think it's a typo.

2.1

2.3

obtained from Government's use of deceptive investigative techniques. The Government is permitted to use these techniques. You should consider evidence obtained -- well, he's claiming that the questioning of him was tortuous and that he had people telling him he was a liar when he wasn't lying. I think, under those circumstances, it's a proper -- they could decide, Well, they were trying to deceive this guy. His interpreter was also his inquisitor; she wasn't an independent agent, per se; and because of the confusion in the translations, maybe they conclude that some of it was deceptive, so I'll let you articulate your objection.

MS. FRETER: Thank you, Your Honor. I would object to this instruction in that the instruction is designed for instances, for example, when the Government uses a sting, or something to that effect, to indicate to the jury that it's appropriate for them to do a sting or do that kind of operation in order to get somebody to do something.

There is discussion in the notes regarding

2.1

2.3

Vol. 4 - 856

this about -- cautions when defendant asserts a defense of entrapment. This instruction is not designed to be used to deal with whether or not a defendant's statement were coerced or voluntary or under the circumstances of that. We have a different instruction for that and that the Notes on Use for this instruction urge caution in giving it.

There's been no argument by me or questions raised by me to suggest that the agents did anything untoward in this case. In fact, even when the special agent was on the stand, we just discussed how she didn't know or knew certain things, how it would have changed the way in which she asked questions with no hint of anything being untoward.

Mr. Patel has just testified that even though he didn't like them continuing to ask questions, he's also testified on direct that he was given a blanket and food and juice; and that difficult questioning or forceful questioning is not the same as a deceptive technique; and my position would be that the giving this instruction is contrary to all of the work that we've done in order to set up the issue that language is a

2.1

Vol. 4 - 857

concern, and the information and the way that the agents questioned Mr. Patel or what he said, that somehow it paints that with the patina of acceptability, and it takes away from the instruction that has to do with how much weight the jury could give his statement; so I object to this instruction.

MR. WEINHOEFT: Judge, I generally think it's appropriate. The Government had two stings in this case where they --

THE COURT: Like I said, two stings.

MR. WEINHOEFT: -- created all sorts of false pretenses, and, again, I don't have the strongest feelings, but I do think the suggestion can be -- the inference was there that, perhaps, the case agent was doing something wrong by being interpreter and case agent at the same time especially since the point was made that she wasn't in the room when questioning began, and so, again, I don't have terribly strong feelings on this, but I do generally think it's appropriate.

THE COURT: I'm not going to go down this hill either. So objection sustained. I'll refuse to give that instruction.

4.05 -- I mean, there were two stings.

2.1

crime?

Vol. 4 - 858

You tried to suggest that it wasn't just a problem -- the defendant attempting to suggest that interviews -- it wasn't just a problem of translation, that there was some kind of underhanded stuff going there, but I'll refuse the instruction.

Jury Instruction No. 20, 4.05 --

MS. FRETER: No objection.

THE COURT: -- superceding indictment?

All right. 4.06, accused of more than one

MS. FRETER: No objection.

THE COURT: That will be given.

Jury Instruction 22, 4.08 Pattern Instruction, you're not to consider possible punishment.

MS. FRETER: Your Honor, I'm again objecting to this instruction based on the comments and the Notes on Use in the Seventh Circuit that says that this is an optional instruction and that the concerns with it are that it can be viewed as lowering the Government's burden of proof; and for those reasons, I object.

THE COURT: It's a pattern instruction that, I think, adequately --

MS. FRETER: It is.

2.1

THE COURT: -- states the law, and so I'm going to give it over objection.

Jury Instruction 23, 4.09, person attempts to commit wire fraud, et cetera, any objection?

MS. FRETER: Yes, Your Honor, in that
Mr. Patel is charged with substantive offenses, not
attempts, and so this instruction --

THE COURT: I thought we had these worked out. Jesus Christ.

All right. So you object because you believe that this is -- because what is wrong with this one?

MS. FRETER: It's about attempt and substantial step, and the Government has charged all completed offenses.

MR. WEINHOEFT: There is attempt language in the indictment, but, your Honor, quite frankly, these are obviously completed offenses, and it's not a hill to die on. If there's an objection, I don't have a problem.

THE COURT: All right.

MR. WEINHOEFT: I'll withdraw.

THE COURT: All right. So you're going to live with Jury Instruction No. 24? Is that the one

we're going to go with?

2.1

2.3

MS. FRETER: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: No objection by Government -- by plaintiff -- by defendant?

MS. FRETER: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: It will be given.

Instruction No. 25, 5.09, definition of conspiracy, any objection?

MS. FRETER: No objection.

THE COURT: It will be given.

Jury Instruction 26, based on Salinas vs.

United States, the conspiracy may exist even if the conspirator does not agree to commit or facilitate each and every part of the substantive offense, any objection?

MS. FRETER: Yes, Your Honor. This is not a pattern instruction. The Government is citing some language from the case here. The Government and I have had conversations about this. They believe it's the *Pinkerton* instruction. My position would be if the Government wants to give a *Pinkerton* instruction, they should give -- I think it's 5.10 has the full instruction in which the Notes on Use caution that the full instruction should be given so that all of the elements and all

2.1

Vol. 4 - 861

of the definitions are defined sufficiently when giving a *Pinkerton* instruction.

MR. WEINHOEFT: Judge, this is a hill to die on. This instruction is very central to the Government's theory of the case. The last -- or the first -- the previous instruction defines what a conspiracy is. Jury Instruction No. 26 describes in the situation like this, where it is a larger conspiracy and there are people with multiple roles, what the law is.

Most importantly, I would point out this is an accurate statement of the law. It is verbatim taken from the U.S. Supreme Court. It is language that is routinely given in cases all over the country and, quite frankly, I would have briefed the issue for the Court, but I mean, we exchanged these instructions over a month ago, and I just found out today that we have an objection to this. This is a plainly appropriate instruction based on the facts of this case; but most importantly, it's an accurate statement of the law; and it is the Tolliver case, 454 F.3d 660, points out -- it cautions district courts to provide an adequate guidance on areas of conspiracy law and the nuances of conspiracy law, and this is plainly

an example in which this particular instruction 1 must be given. 2 3 THE COURT: It will be given over objection. 4 5 Jury Instruction No. 27, based on statutory definition of wire fraud, any objection? 6 7 MS. FRETER: No objection. THE COURT: It will be given. 8 Jury Instruction 28, any objection? 9 MS. FRETER: No objection. 10 THE COURT: Jury Instruction 29, proof of 11 scheme? 12 MS. FRETER: No objection. 13 THE COURT: All right. That will be 14 15 given. Jury Instruction 30, definition of 16 material? 17 MS. FRETER: No objection. 18 THE COURT: That will be given. 19 Jury Instruction 31, intent to defraud? 20 21 MS. FRETER: No objection. 22 THE COURT: That will be given. Jury Instruction 32, 4.10, definition of 23 knowingly? 24 25 MS. FRETER: No objection.

2.1

2.3

Vol. 4 - 863

THE COURT: That will be given.

Jury Instruction 33, a joint venture, an offense may be committed by more than one person, any objection?

MS. FRETER: No objection.

THE COURT: That will be given.

Jury Instruction No. 34, 5.06, aiding and abetting?

MS. FRETER: Your Honor, I would object to this instruction as it's given based on the fact that the Government is giving conspiracy instructions and then now they're going to give this definition instruction that's not the full Pinkerton instruction; and so as a result of that, I would object to this instruction as being confusing and contrary to the other instructions that the Court is giving regarding conspiracy and responsibility.

MR. WEINHOEFT: Judge, I would have two responses to that. First of all, Counts 2, 3 and 4 specifically charge and allege aiding and abetting. I'd point out that this instruction is appropriate even when aiding and abetting is not charged. The Court should give it anyway, but here we went to the length of actually charging aiding and abetting

2.1

Vol. 4 - 864

to make it really clear from the beginning what the theory was, and so that's plainly charged in Counts 2, 3 and 4; and as to the fact that Count 1 is an inchoate offense, it's black letter law that you can also aide and abet a conspiracy as well. So it's an appropriate instruction and should be given.

THE COURT: It will be given over objection.

MS. FRETER: Your Honor, I have to apologize. In my list -- and I sincerely apologize. In Instruction 32 -- I'm sorry I have to go back. I sincerely apologize.

THE COURT: You want to object to 32, definition of knowingly?

MS. FRETER: I don't want to object to the instruction, Judge. I had proposed to the Government different language that they do not like, which would be in the second paragraph where it says, Believed it was highly probable that they were being deceived, and instead of the word "deceived" -- because the issue isn't deception. This is defrauded, and so I requested that the word "deceive" be replaced with "defraud." The Government doesn't agree. I can submit a proposed

2.1

Vol. 4 - 865

instruction that says "defrauded" so that the Court can reject it.

MR. WEINHOEFT: Deception is the pattern language, and the scheme to defraud as charged in 2, 3 and 4 is -- plainly includes the concepts of deception. That's the --

THE COURT: Do we have an instruction that defines "deceive" or "defrauded"?

MR. WEINHOEFT: What's that?

THE COURT: Is there a separate instruction that defines "deceived" or "defrauded" that's being offered? I mean, if it's a pattern instruction, I'm going to leave it as is. I don't know the difference between -- I don't know why this would be confusing. If you want to offer a second objection, I'll sleep on it, but I'm going to -- I plan on giving this -- I mean, a separate proposed one?

MS. FRETER: Judge, the pattern instruction where this language is, it just says, "Insert conduct." So it doesn't use this exact language because every case is different, and so the "defrauded" word that I'm using is coming from the indictment.

MR. WEINHOEFT: Again, this is -- this was

2.1

Vol. 4 - 866

dropped this morning. I'd have to look at it again, but I'd stand on it's a pattern instruction.

THE COURT: I'll sleep on it, but at this point I'm overruling your objection and granting it. I'm going to give it.

Jury Instruction 33, 5.05 -- now we're to Jury Instruction 35, success not required, any objection?

MS. FRETER: No objection.

THE COURT: That will be given.

Instruction 36, wire communications, any objection?

MS. FRETER: No objection.

THE COURT: That will be given.

Jury Instruction No. 37, use of mail as interstate commerce, any objection?

MS. FRETER: No objection.

MR. WEINHOEFT: Judge, this is actually the one that there's, I think, a small issue with. This, as submitted -- 37, we submitted it with only discussion of interstate wires, but Count 1 alleges conspiracy of multi-object conspiracy of both mail and wire fraud, so I think that we need to use the pattern instruction that also includes commercial

2.1

Vol. 4 - 867

carrier because we do have three packages shipped by UPS, and so I think there needs to be something in the instructions to help the jury as it relates to the multi-object conspiracy. So I do have a written -- a proposed written version. It's verbatim out of the pattern that just includes the language of both interstate wire and interstate -- or private commercial carrier.

THE COURT: Do you have any objection with that?

MS. FRETER: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: So I will treat this one as withdrawn, and I'm going to make a note that you are going to give me one in the morning.

MR. WEINHOEFT: I came prepared.

LAW CLERK: Can we get an electronic copy of this as well?

MR. WEINHOEFT: I'll send it. So I've labeled that 37(a), Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. Jury Instruction 38,

United States vs. Pearson, defendant caused an

interstate wire communication between the states of

Indiana and Oklahoma. Any objection?

MS. FRETER: No objection.

THE COURT: All right. That will be

1 given. Instruction No. 39, eluding examination or 2 3 inspection? MS. FRETER: No objection. 4 5 THE COURT: All right. That shall be 6 given. All right. Pattern Instruction 7.01 on 7 jury deliberations, any objection? 8 MS. FRETER: No objection. 9 THE COURT: All right. That will be 10 Jury Instruction 41 is 7.02, verdict form? 11 given. MS. FRETER: No objection. 12 THE COURT: That will be given. 13 14 Unanimity, disagreement among jurors, any 15 objection to 42? 16 MS. FRETER: No objection. THE COURT: All right. That will be 17 18 given. All right. I have that as everything. 19 20 Okay. Nine o'clock -- what kind of 2.1 weather do we have coming Monday? 22 MR. WEINHOEFT: I'm honestly not 23 certain. THE COURT: I think that this -- I'm just 24 25 going to say this: There's a lot for this jury to

2.1

Vol. 4 - 869

go through. There's a lot of documents. If your cross-examination is going to take a couple hours, I don't think we get this case -- and if you're closing argument is going to be an hour or more, I don't think that we get this to the jury early enough on Friday that we're not giving them an impetus to cut short deliberations. It's a lot for them to go through.

So it's my inclination at this point that once we get the testimony of Mr. Patel wrapped up and you decide whether or not you want to call any rebuttal witnesses, I would probably end it there and tell the jury we're going to come back fresh on Monday for oral argument -- for closing arguments and deliberations.

If between now and tomorrow midmorning, if it looks like we may have some inclement weather that might be problematic, I probably won't have the jury come in until ten, if necessary, and we've got to track down -- we've got to make sure we can have an interpreter, and getting two of them has been harder than I've ever experienced in getting an interpreter in a case. They're traveling distances. If there is snow and ice on the East Coast, we're going to hear, I can't make it.

Vol. 4 - 870(Off the record.) 1 (Proceedings recessed at 4:57 p.m. until 2 3 9:00 a.m. February 7, 2025.) 4 5 6 CERTIFICATE OF COURT REPORTER 7 I, Erin M. Materkowski, hereby certify that 8 the foregoing is a true and correct transcript from 9 reported proceedings in the above-entitled matter. 10 11 /s/ Erin M. Materkowski Date: 06/30/2025 12 ERIN M. MATERKOWSKI, RPR, CRR Official Court Reporter 13 Southern District of Illinois 14 East St. Louis Division 15 16 17 18 19 20 2.1 22 23 24 25